Supplementary data

Table A1 details all of the possible strategies that were considered, the ICERs and which ones were dominated or extendedly dominated.

Table A2 details the parameters that were used to derive the cost effectiveness model.

Table A3 details the results for all strategies, the ICER and whether the strategy was dominated or extendedly dominated.

Table A4 presents the breakdown of the scenario analysis according to the pretest probability of CAD.

Figure A4 details the scenario analyses of pre-test likelihood and cost effectiveness threshold per correct diagnosis.

16

17

CMR

PET

<u>Tables</u>			
Strategy	First test	Second test	Third test
0	No testing		
1	ICA-FFR		
Sta	ndalone testing whi	ch triggers ICA-FFR with a p	oositive test result
2	CTCA	ICA-FFR	
3	CMR	ICA-FFR	
4	PET	ICA-FFR	
5	SE	ICA-FFR	
6	ICA	ICA-FFR	
7	SPECT	ICA-FFR	
Anatom	ical imaging first wi	th CT followed by functional	imaging and ICA-FFR
8	CTCA	CMR	ICA-FFR
9	CTCA	SE	ICA-FFR
10	CTCA	SPECT	ICA-FFR
11	CTCA	PET	ICA-FFR
12	CTCA	CT-FFR	ICA-FFR
13*	CTCA	ICA	ICA-FFR
Fun	ctional imaging firs	followed by anatomical CT	and then ICA-FFR
14	SE	CTCA	ICA-FFR
15	SPECT	CTCA	ICA-FFR

Table A1. 17 diagnostic strategies used in the model. CTCA: Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography. CT-FFR: Computed Tomography derived Fractional Flow Reserve. CMR: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. ICA: Invasive Coronary Angiography. ICA-FFR: Invasive Coronary Angiography and Fractional Flow Reserve. Positron Emission Tomography. SE: Stress Echocardiography. SPECT: Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography.

CTCA

CTCA

ICA-FFR

ICA-FFR

Supplemental material

Test	Parameter	Mean (SE)	Distribution	Source		
	Cost (£)	2,153 (430.60)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs		
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following		
	Complication cost (£)	1,435.30 (353.82)	Gamma	HRG codes:		
ICA-				EB07, EB03, EB10, EB14, AA29, AA22, YQ50 and WH05Z		
FFR	Sensitivity	100%	-	Assumption		
	Specificity	100%	-	Assumption		
	Risk of death	0.072% (0.0001)	Beta	Noto et al (1991)		
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.94% (0.002)	Beta	11010 01 41 (1001)		
	Cost (£)	262 (52.40)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs		
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following		
	Complication cost (£)	563.04 (112.61)	Gamma	HRG codes:		
CTCA				WH05 and EB03		
CTCA	Sensitivity	93% (0.016)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)		
	Specificity	53% (0.127)	Beta			
	Risk of death	0%	-	Lu et al (2017)		
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.80% (0.0013)	Beta	Lu et ai (2017)		
CT-FFR	Cost (£)	962 (192.40)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs		

Supplemental material

Test	Parameter	Mean (SE)	Distribution	Source
	Sensitivity	90% (0.016)	Beta	Danad et al (2017)
	Specificity	71% (0.0280)	Beta	Danad et al (2017)
	Risk of death	0%	-	Assumed to be 0 to avoid double counting
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0%	-	Assumed to be 0 to avoid double counting
	Cost (£)	389 (77.80)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following
	Complication cost (£)	1,253 (250.60)	Gamma	HRG codes:
CMP				EB07, EB03, EB10, WH05Z
	Sensitivity	89% (0.017)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Specificity	87% (0.023)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Risk of death	0%	-	Bruder et al (2013)
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.10% (0.0003)	Beta	Bruder et al (2013)
	Cost (£)	498 (99.60)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs
	Complication cost (£)	1962.83 (392.57)	Gamma	Assumed to be equal to SPECT
PET	Sensitivity	89% (0.0224)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Specificity	85% (0.0177)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Risk of death	0%	-	Assumed to be equal to SPECT

Supplemental material

Test	Parameter	Mean (SE)	Distribution	Source
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.10% (0.0003)	Beta	Assumed to be equal to SPECT
-	Cost (£)	250 (50)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following
	Complication cost (£)	1,192.06 (238.41)	Gamma	HRG codes:
O.F.				EB07, EB03, EB10, EB14, AA35 and AA22
SE	Sensitivity	77% (0.05)	Beta	Danad et al (2017)
	Specificity	75% (0.087)	Beta	Danad et al (2017)
	Risk of death	0.00069% (0.00002)	Beta	Varga et al (2006)
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.10% (0.0001)	Beta	Varga et al (2006)
	Cost (£)	1,726 (345.20)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following
	Complication cost (£)	1349.81 (269.96)	Gamma	HRG codes:
10.4				EB07, EB03, EB10, EB14, AA29, AA22, YQ50 and WH05Z
ICA	Sensitivity	68% (0.05)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Specificity	73% (0.836)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Risk of death	0.072% (0.0001)	Beta	Noto et al (1991)
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.74% (0.0015)	Beta	Noto et al (1991)

Test	Parameter	Mean (SE)	Distribution	Source
	Cost (£)	272 (54.4)	Gamma	2017/2018 NHS Reference Costs
				Weighted average of 2017/2018 NHS Reference cost of the following
	Complication cost (£)	1,962.83 (490.71)	Gamma	HRG codes:
ODEOT				EB10, DZ19, and DZ20
SPECT	Sensitivity	73% (0.0593)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Specificity	83% (0.0413)	Beta	Knuuti et al (2018)
	Risk of death	0%	-	Cerqueira et al (1994)
	Risk of non-fatal complication	0.10% (0.0003)	Beta	Cerqueira et al (1994)

Table A2. Model parameters. CTCA: Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography. CT-FFR: Computed Tomography derived Fractional Flow Reserve. CMR: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. ICA: Invasive Coronary Angiography. ICA-FFR: Invasive Coronary Angiography and Fractional Flow Reserve. PET: Positron Emission Tomography. SE: Stress Echocardiography. SPECT: Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography. NHS: National Health Service

Table A3. Outline all of the strategies that were evaluated. The options that were dominated or extendedly dominated are identified.

Strategy no.	Description	Expected deaths	Expected complications	Expected proportion of incorrect diagnoses	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected cost	Dominance
1	ICA-FFR	0.08%	0.94%	0.00%	98.97%	£2,176.02	Non-dominated
2	CTCA + ICA-FFR	0.05%	1.41%	2.63%	95.91%	£1,656.47	Dominated
3	CMR + ICA-FFR	0.03%	0.46%	4.16%	95.35%	£1,291.80	Non-dominated
4	PET + ICA-FFR	0.04%	0.50%	4.11%	95.35%	£1,431.49	Dominated
5	SE + ICA-FFR	0.04%	0.52%	8.71%	90.73%	£1,221.70	Dominated
6	ICA + FFR	0.12%	1.24%	11.84%	86.80%	£2,473.56	Dominated
7	SPECT +ICA-FFR	0.03%	0.46%	10.16%	89.35%	£1,103.96	Dominated
8	CTCA + CMR + ICA-FFR	0.02%	1.10%	5.25%	93.59%	£1,090.84	Dominated Extendedly
9	CTCA + SE + ICA-FFR CTCA + SPECT + ICA-	0.02%	1.14%	8.20%	90.64%	£1,045.88	dominated Extendedly
10	FFR	0.02%	1.10%	9.12%	89.76%	£970.47	dominated
11	CTCA + PET + ICA-FFR CTCA + FFRCT + ICA-	0.02%	1.12%	5.26%	93.60%	£1,180.00	Dominated
12	FFR	0.03%	1.09%	4.32%	94.55%	£2,202.17	Dominated
13	CTCA + ICA + FFR	0.08%	1.60%	10.20%	88.13%	£1,959.60	Dominated
14	SE + CTCA + ICA-FFR SPECT + CTCA + ICA-	0.02%	0.73%	9.88%	89.37%	£990.23	Dominated
15	FFR	0.02%	0.63%	11.16%	88.18%	£905.90	Non-dominated
16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	0.02%	0.65%	5.25%	94.08%	£1,075.93	Non-dominated
_17	PET + CTCA +ICA-FFR	0.02%	0.70%	5.24%	94.04%	£1,209.28	Dominated

Table A4. Scenario analysis on pre-test probability

Pre-test probability	Strategy no.	Description	Expected costs	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected proportion of complications	Expected proportion of deaths	NMB	Probability of being the most cost- effective strategy
		CET	alue of £2,000 p	er correct dia	agnosis			
15%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£633.04	95.22%	0.43%	0.01%	£1,271.34	47%
25.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£742.42	92.25%	0.52%	0.01%	£1,102.53	47%
35.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£863.64	88.96%	0.60%	0.02%	£915.64	44%
45.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£995.88	85.77%	0.70%	0.02%	£719.46	42%
55.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,142.66	82.70%	0.81%	0.03%	£511.44	41%
65.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,293.45	78.97%	0.91%	0.03%	£286.02	33%
75.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,469.07	75.79%	1.02%	0.04%	£46.66	29%
85.00%	7	SPECT +ICA-FFR	£1,666.85	76.22%	0.70%	0.05%	-£142.47	26%
		CET	/alue of £3,000 p	er correct dia	agnosis			
15%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£637.88	95.25%	0.43%	0.01%	£2,219.55	40%
25.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£746.65	92.19%	0.51%	0.02%	£2,019.19	34%
35.00%	15	SPECT + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£868.55	89.17%	0.61%	0.02%	£1,806.42	31%
45.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,193.56	92.76%	0.74%	0.03%	£1,589.34	32%
55.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,378.19	90.93%	0.88%	0.03%	£1,349.71	26%
65.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,573.38	88.98%	1.01%	0.04%	£1,096.03	19%
75.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,914.89	91.00%	0.73%	0.06%	£815.22	12%
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,166.69	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£802.51	80%

Pre-test probability	Strategy no.	Description	Expected costs	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected proportion of complications	Expected proportion of deaths	NMB	Probability of being the most cost- effective strategy
		CET	value of £5,000 p	er correct dia	agnosis			
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£745.54	97.70%	0.39%	0.01%	£4,139.60	38%
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£877.13	96.19%	0.50%	0.02%	£3,932.29	47%
35.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,023.78	94.59%	0.61%	0.02%	£3,705.78	49%
45.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,201.97	92.82%	0.75%	0.03%	£3,438.85	47%
55.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,384.03	90.97%	0.88%	0.03%	£3,164.40	34%
65.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,739.05	92.13%	0.65%	0.05%	£2,867.28	24%
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,178.37	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£2,770.38	73%
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,175.19	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£2,773.40	99%
		CET	value of £7,500 p	er correct dia	agnosis			
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£738.62	97.70%	0.39%	0.01%	£6,589.16	50%
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£880.02	96.18%	0.50%	0.02%	£6,333.37	57%
35.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,039.49	94.59%	0.61%	0.02%	£6,055.12	53%
45.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,205.47	92.80%	0.75%	0.03%	£5,754.55	44%
55.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,388.79	90.98%	0.88%	0.03%	£5,435.00	24%
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,169.79	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£5,253.31	57%
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,180.12	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£5,242.90	95%
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,167.80	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£5,255.18	100%
	-	CET	value of £10,000 p	er correct di	agnosis	 		
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£737.57	97.70%	0.39%	0.01%	£9,032.81	60%

Pre-test probability	Strategy no.	Description	Expected costs	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected proportion of complications	Expected proportion of deaths	NMB	Probability of being the most cost- effective strategy		
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£876.13	96.17%	0.50%	0.02%	£8,741.16	62%		
35.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,030.93	94.57%	0.62%	0.02%	£8,425.97	52%		
45.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,201.95	92.78%	0.74%	0.03%	£8,075.82	29%		
55.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,572.96	93.33%	0.58%	0.05%	£7,759.85	24%		
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,159.87	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£7,737.33	84%		
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,156.34	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£7,741.02	100%		
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,168.35	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£7,729.09	100%		
	CET value of £15,000 per correct diagnosis									
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£735.71	97.69%	0.39%	0.01%	£13,918.48	67%		
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£888.94	96.18%	0.50%	0.02%	£13,538.14	56%		
35.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£1,028.96	94.55%	0.62%	0.02%	£13,153.49	38%		
45.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,410.39	94.48%	0.51%	0.04%	£12,762.16	27%		
55.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,158.18	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£12,687.71	79%		
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,162.09	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£12,683.86	98%		
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,164.70	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£12,681.19	100%		
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,170.22	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£12,675.73	100%		
	-	CET	value of £20,000 p	er correct di	agnosis	-		_		
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£737.60	97.69%	0.38%	0.01%	£18,801.05	66%		
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£881.40	96.20%	0.50%	0.02%	£18,359.30	50%		
35.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,248.38	95.66%	0.44%	0.03%	£17,884.35	31%		
45.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,158.89	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£17,635.79	60%		

Pre-test probability	Strategy no.	Description	Expected costs	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected proportion of complications	Expected proportion of deaths	NMB	Probability of being the most cost- effective strategy
55.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,184.68	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£17,609.95	95%
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,177.10	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£17,617.81	100%
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,174.90	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£17,619.73	100%
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,164.07	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£17,630.56	100%
		CET	value of £30,000 p	er correct di	agnosis			
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£731.84	97.72%	0.39%	0.01%	£28,584.48	63%
25.00%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£876.80	96.18%	0.50%	0.02%	£27,976.81	30%
35.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,166.30	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,525.48	49%
45.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,180.69	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,511.04	93%
55.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,139.13	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,552.69	100%
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,174.04	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,517.77	100%
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,165.04	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,527.26	100%
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,172.31	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£27,519.61	100%
		CET	value of £50,000 p	er correct di	agnosis			
15%	16	CMR + CTCA + ICA-FFR	£738.32	97.70%	0.39%	0.01%	£48,110.28	37%
25.00%	3	CMR + ICA-FFR	£1,083.60	96.87%	0.37%	0.03%	£47,351.17	34%
35.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,155.01	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,331.81	95%
45.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,170.51	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,316.71	100%
55.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,177.94	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,308.70	100%
65.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,151.75	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,334.97	100%
75.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,188.27	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,298.28	100%

Pre-test probability	Strategy no.	Description	Expected costs	Expected proportion of correct diagnoses	Expected proportion of complications	Expected proportion of deaths	NMB	Probability of being the most cost- effective strategy
85.00%	1	ICA-FFR	£2,172.43	98.97%	0.94%	0.08%	£47,313.73	100%