Coronary perforation incidence, outcomes and temporal trends (COPIT): a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT
Coronary perforation is a potentially life-threatening complication of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). We studied incidence, outcomes and temporal trends following PCI-related coronary artery perforation (CAP).

Methods Prospective systematic review and meta-analysis including meta-regression using MEDLINE and EMBASE to November 2020. We included ‘all-comer’ PCI cohorts including large PCI registries and randomised controlled trials and excluding registries or trials limited to PCI in high-risk populations such as chronic total occlusion PCI or cohorts treated only with atheroablative devices. Regression analysis and corresponding correlation coefficients were performed comparing perforation incidence, mortality rate, tamponade rate and the rate of Ellis III perforations against the midpoint (year) of data collection to determine if a significant temporal relationship was present.

Results 3997 studies were screened for inclusion. 67 studies met eligibility criteria with a total of 5 568 191 PCIs included over a 38-year period (1982–2020). The overall pooled incidence of perforation was 0.39% (95% CI 0.34% to 0.45%) and remained similar throughout the study period. Around 1 in 5 coronary perforations led to tamponade (21.1%). Ellis III perforations are increasing in frequency and account for 43% of all perforations. Perforation mortality has trended lower over the years (7.5%; 95% CI 6.7% to 8.4%). Perforation risk factors derived using meta-regression were female sex, hypertension, chronic kidney disease and previous coronary bypass grafting. Coronary perforation was most frequently caused by distal wire exit (37%) followed by coronary bypass grafting. Coronary perforation complicates approximately 1 in 250 PCIs. Ellis III perforations are increasing in incidence although it is unclear whether this is due to reporting bias. Despite this, the overall perforation mortality rate (7.5%) has trended lower in recent years. Limitations of our findings include bias that may be introduced through analysis of multisite studies and registries without pre-specified standardised perforation reporting.

Conclusion Coronary perforation complicates approximately 1 in 250 PCIs. Ellis III perforations are increasing in incidence although it is unclear whether this is due to reporting bias. Despite this, the overall perforation mortality rate (7.5%) has trended lower in recent years. Limitations of our findings include bias that may be introduced through analysis of multisite studies and registries without pre-specified standardised perforation reporting.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ The incidence of coronary perforation during contemporary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) varies according to studied population. Historically, the estimated incidence of coronary perforation in all-comer PCI is 0.43% based on previous pooled analysis. The relevance of this to contemporary practice is known as interventional cardiologists treat more complex patient subgroups. The aetiology, success of treatment modalities, outcomes and clinical risk factors for coronary perforation during PCI have variable reporting within the literature.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
⇒ Incidence of coronary perforation is stable over the last 40 years and occurs in ~ 1 in 250 PCI procedures. Ellis III perforation are increasingly common with contemporary PCI but associated mortality with this feared complication is declining. We highlight a gender divide with women being at higher risk of coronary perforation during PCI. Patients with chronic kidney disease, prior coronary bypass grafting and hypertension were also at higher risk of coronary perforation during PCI.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY
⇒ Pooled, real-world, data coronary perforation during PCI helps cardiologists glean more information on procedural risk, guiding patients with treatment options and associated risks.

INTRODUCTION
Coronary artery perforation (CAP) is a potentially lethal complication of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with incidence directly proportional to...
PCI is increasingly used to treat complex calcified coronary anatomy which has been demonstrated to have a higher risk of periprocedural adverse events including perforation. The reported incidence of perforation during contemporary PCI varies broadly according to population studied but a historical pooled meta-analysis of 197,061 patients estimates incidence at 0.43% (95% CI 0.35% to 0.52%). Data from large PCI registries help cardiologists glean more information on procedural risk which helps guide patients with treatment options. Studied but a historical pooled meta-analysis of 197,061 patients estimates incidence at 0.43% (95% CI 0.35% to 0.52%). Data from large PCI registries help cardiologists glean more information on procedural risk which helps guide patients with treatment options. Finally, we studied whether perforation incidence has changed in recent years hypothesising that an increased incidence is plausible as we treat increasingly complex lesions.

METHODS
Coronary perforation incidence and temporal trends is a systematic review and meta-analysis performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. A comprehensive protocol was prospectively submitted and registered on The International Prospective Register of Systematic reviews prior to commencing the study or analysis.

Search strategy
A literature search of MEDLINE and EMBASE using the OVID interface was performed. Keywords used were ‘coronary artery’, ‘percutaneous coronary intervention’ and ‘perforation’ including their subheadings and synonyms. Results were restricted to articles available in English and pertaining to humans. The search was performed in September of 2020 yielding 5108 results which was reduced to 3997 articles after automatic de-duplication by the OVID interface. Titles and abstracts were reviewed for the pre-specified inclusion criteria by two investigators (PMik and CS). Discrepancies over eligibility and final inclusion were determined by a third investigator (TF).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
For inclusion in this meta-analysis, it was essential for an article to report the number of perforations within the cohort of patients undergoing PCI. A range of time during which these procedures were performed was essential to allow for assessment of temporal trends. Any article not meeting these criteria was excluded from the analysis. Case reports and case series were excluded. However, abstracts presented at conferences were included to allow us to capture the reported incidence of CAP in real-world practice. Studies or datasets solely reporting results in higher risk patient cohorts (eg, chronic total occlusion (CTO) PCI or atheroablative devices) or non-routine procedural practice were excluded.

Data extraction
Data were extracted manually by two investigators (NH, MMon) and checked for accuracy by a third investigator (AB). Collected data included first author, year of publication, median year of patient recruitment, name of registry/trial and perforation rate. The population demographics of the studied population as well as the population who had CAP (mean age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors) were tabulated. Data pertaining to perforation severity (according to the Ellis criteria), cause, outcomes and management were also collected if available. If several articles had data from the same registry/trial, preference for inclusion in the final analysis was given to the article which had been peer reviewed and with the largest cohort of studied patients to reduce duplicate data from impacting final results. If unable to differentiate based on these parameters, a fourth investigator (PMik) would select between the papers with the article providing most data regarding our secondary objectives being selected for inclusion. Sixty-seven papers were included in the final statistical analysis (figure 1). Efforts to exclude data pertaining to CTO procedures within a larger dataset were made by the authors.

Definitions
CAP rate (%) was defined as (Total number of perforations/Total number of procedures)×100. The total number of non-CTO perforations was assumed to be equivalent to total number of perforations if the paper did not specify. Similarly, the total number of non-CTO procedures was assumed to be equivalent to total number of procedures if the paper did not specify the number of CTO procedures within their cohort. The total number of procedures was also assumed to be equal to the total number of patients within the cohort unless otherwise specified within the article. Perforation mortality rate (%) was calculated as (Total number of perforation-related deaths/Total number of perforations)×100, where perforation-related death has occurred during the procedure or within the acute phase post-procedure. Mortality of patients in the medium–long term after perforation (>30 days) was not analysed.

Statistical analysis
R Core Team V.4.0.3 was used to perform regression analyses and to calculate pooled estimates for proportions. Regression analysis was performed comparing perforation rate against the midpoint (year) of data collection to determine if a significant temporal relationship was present. Similar temporal analyses were performed for tamponade rates and rates of Ellis III perforation. Corresponding correlation coefficients were then calculated.
for these relationships. Perforation rates from included studies were plotted against time on a bubble plot, where bubble radius was scaled in proportion to study size. A sensitivity analysis was performed and plotted using studies that had a total data collection period of 7 years or less, in order to minimise bias from studies that collected data over long periods of time.

Meta-analysis of proportions was conducted using the ‘meta’ and ‘metafor’ packages in R V.4.0.3. Perforation rates were pooled using the inverse variance method. A random effects model was chosen for this analysis, as we assumed that perforation rates varied significantly between studies. This model allows us to assume a mean distribution of perforation rates across all included studies, rather than a fixed difference in effect size. Logit-transformed proportions were used for the summary measure to minimise the risk of skewed data at extreme ranges.73 Knapp-Hartung adjustments were applied to the random effects model to account for uncertainty in our estimation of between-study heterogeneity.74 75 Proportions were pooled for rates of overall perforation, perforation mortality, perforation tamponade and Ellis III perforation. Perforation causes (ie, balloon, stent, wire or device) and perforation treatment strategies (ie, medical management, balloon occlusion, surgery or covered stent) were also meta-analysed across studies. Where information was available, perforations were also stratified by vessel territory, and a subgroup analysis was performed to determine the mortality rate of Ellis III perforations specifically. We also aimed to evaluate whether specific baseline patient characteristics could predict coronary perforation. To achieve this, we calculated perforation ORs from studies where baseline characteristics were reported for both perforation and non-perforation groups. ORs were then pooled using the exact Mantel-Haenszel method using R V.4.0.3 statistical software with ‘meta’ and ‘metafor’ packages.76

RESULTS
Perforation rate and vascular territory
A total of 67 studies with 5,563,136 patients undergoing 5,568,191 procedures were eligible for inclusion in the analysis. A total of 19,776 coronary perforations were identified providing an estimated mean weighted perforation incidence of 0.39% (95% CI 0.34% to 0.45%) (figure 2). There were no significant temporal trends of perforation incidence with time (β=−0.4% (95% CI −2.5% to 1.8%), p=0.72) (figure 3A). A subgroup analysis of studies with recruitment periods of less than 7 years was performed to reduce the impact of studies with prolonged recruitment periods which again showed no significant correlation between perforation incidence over time (β=0.72% (95% CI −0.86% to 2.30%), p=0.0449) (figure 3B). The incidence of Ellis III perforation increased over time (β=3.7% (95% CI 0.01% to 7.0%), p=0.0494) (figure 3B).

The site of coronary perforation was reported in 28 studies (n=4,397). The left anterior descending (LAD), including Left Main Coronary artery (LMCA) were commonly affected (40.3% of perforations (95% CI 32.8% to 48.3%)). 54.2% of perforations occurred in the left circumflex artery or Right coronary artery and only 6% of reported perforations occurred within a coronary bypass graft.

Outcomes of CAP
Perforation-associated mortality was determined from a pooled analysis of 47 studies (n=19,011). Perforation mortality was calculated at 7.5% (95% CI 6.7% to 8.4%) (figure 4). Notably, there was an overall decline in mortality related to CAP over time (β=−2.59% (95% CI −4.29% to −0.88%), p=0.0038) (figure 5).
Forty-four studies (n=18 373) met pre-specified inclusion criteria to estimate incidence of cardiac tamponade due to CAP. Cardiac tamponade was observed in 21.1% of CAP (95% CI 17.2% to 25.8%) (online supplemental appendix figure 2a). The incidence of cardiac tamponade did not change over time (online supplemental appendix figure 2b).

Predictors of coronary perforation
Studies meeting pre-specified inclusion criteria were analysed to determine predictors of coronary perforation. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) (OR 1.49 (95% CI 1.11 to 1.98)), female gender (OR 1.35 (95% CI 1.30 to 1.41)), prior coronary bypass grafting (CABG) (OR...
1.32 (95% CI 1.12 to 1.55) and hypertension (OR 1.21 (95% CI 1.07 to 1.37)) were most strongly associated with coronary perforation. However, patients presenting with acute coronary syndromes appeared less likely to suffer from coronary perforation although the significance of this is uncertain (OR 0.88 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.19)). There was little impact on incidence of coronary perforation for patients with diabetes or hypercholesterolemia.

Figure 3  (A) Temporal trend of coronary perforation. Bubble plot illustrating stable incidence of coronary perforation over the last four decades. Sample size of study represented by bubble size. (B) Temporal trend of Ellis grade III perforation. Bubble plot illustrating increasing incidence of Ellis grade III perforation over the last three decades. Sample size of study represented by bubble size.

Figure 4  Forest plot of included studies reporting periprocedural mortality due to coronary perforation. Data presented as % with 95% CI.
Coronary perforation aetiology
Twenty-nine studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria reporting aetiology of coronary perforation (n=1242). Coronary guidewires were the most frequent cause of coronary perforation during PCI accounting for 37.3% of reported perforations (95% CI 26.7% to 49.2%). Balloon dilatation pre and post-stent deployment accounted for 27.5% of perforations (95% CI 21.5% to 34.5%) with stent deployment accounting for 24.4% of CAP (95% CI 18.0% to 32.1%) and other devices causing 9.1% of perforations (95% CI 5.5% to 14.8%) (figure 6A).

Management of coronary perforations
Thirty-nine studies met pre-specified criteria reporting management of coronary perforations (n=3258). Surgical management was required in 16.6% of patients with CAP (95% CI 10.94% to 24.4%). Approximately half of coronary perforations were able to be managed percutaneously with balloon tamponade (28.7% (95% CI 17.2% to 43.7%)) or use of a covered stent (24.7% (95% CI 14.7% to 38.6%). Conservative management was successfully used as the only treatment in 9.7% of perforations (95% CI 5.2% to 17.4%) without percutaneous or surgical intervention. The final treatment modality for CAP was indeterminate in 20.3% of analysed patients which prevented further analysis in this subgroup (figure 6B).

Of the cases where the final treatment modality was available, coronary perforation was managed with covered stents for 31% of cases, balloon tamponade in 36% of cases, surgically in 21% of cases and medically in 12% of cases.

DISCUSSION
This detailed systematic review provides the largest comprehensive overview of patients with PCI-related CAP. We demonstrate that (1) coronary perforation occurs in approximately 1 in 250 ‘all-comer’ PCI procedures, (2) the overall incidence is fairly steady but catastrophic perforations (Ellis III) are more common in recent years, (3) perforation mortality is fairly low but not insignificant (7.5%) and has declined over time, (4) female sex, kidney disease, previous CABG, hypertension and LAD target vessel are important clinical risk factors for perforation. Finally, (5) most coronary perforations are successfully managed with balloon tamponade or covered stents without requirement for surgical intervention figure 7.

Perforation trends
As a community, we are tackling increasingly complex lesion subsets combined with an ageing population, hence it is certainly plausible that the rates of coronary perforation are higher now than in the formative years of PCI. Indeed, Kinnaird et al’s large UK study of coronary perforations using the BCIS database demonstrated there was a non-significant trend to higher perforation rates with time. The observation that perforation mortality has declined is reassuring and may reflect better recognition and ongoing education incorporating algorithmic management. We have demonstrated that Ellis III perforations are more common in recent years. Although not the subject of our research, this may be due to increased complex PCI with use of atheroablative devices, as well as contemporary trends for high-pressure post-dilatation with 1:1 vessel sizing determined from diameter measured from external elastic membrane (EEM) to EEM. It is also plausible that there is increased recognition and use of the Ellis criteria since its introduction in 1994.

Predictors of coronary perforation
Risk of CAP was found to be highest in distinct subgroups: females, patients with CKD, patients with hypertension and patients with previous CABG and LAD target vessel.

Table 1 Predictors of coronary artery perforation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex*</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.30 to 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG*</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.12 to 1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease†</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute coronary syndrome‡</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.66 to 1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension*</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.07 to 1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.85 to 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia§</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.91 to 1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main/LAD¶</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.04 to 1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as OR with 95% CI.
*Eight studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria (n=4 551 906).
†Three studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria (n=574 774).
‡Six studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria (n=4 528 971).
§Six studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria (n=779 717).
¶Four studies met pre-specified inclusion criteria (n=597 709).
CABG, coronary bypass grafting; LAD, left anterior descending.
Older age is a known robust predictor of adverse events with PCI, however, without individual patient data, studying the effects of age as a risk factor for perforation is an important limitation of this study level meta-analysis. This relates to large heterogeneity in age and its effects on outcomes depending on its inclusion as a continuous or categorical variable between studies. The higher incidence of coronary calcification and complex lesions are likely to explain the elevated risk of coronary perforation during PCI in patients with CKD and prior CABG. The reason for increased incidence of CAP in women remains unclear and is worthy of consideration. Female sex has consistently been shown as a predictor of adverse outcomes with PCI, including perforation. Smaller vessel sizes in females may be a relevant contributor. Additionally, arterial remodelling creating stiffer vessels due to changes in vascular smooth muscle leading to reduced vessel compliance is likely contributory. Patients with CKD were also found to be at higher risk of CAP during PCI, contributing to the body of evidence suggesting judicious use of PCI in this population subgroup. In patients with coronary artery bypass grafts, it is unclear from the available data whether these perforations occurred during interventions on graft or native vessels.

The trend towards a lower rate of coronary perforation in patients presenting with acute coronary syndromes may reflect the younger age, the likelihood of a simpler PCI strategy and potentially less high-pressure stent optimisation during post-dilatation.

Unsurprisingly, cardiac tamponade is a common sequela of coronary perforation occurring in approximately 1 in 5 patients with incidence remaining stable. Perforation is a potentially lethal condition with a point estimate of mortality at approximately 7.5%, translating as 1 in 13 patients dying in the periprocedural period. We noted an overall decline in mortality over the last decade and the reasons for this may be multifactorial. Increased education and training on how to manage large perforations with use of ‘ping-pong’ guide catheters, introduction of covered stents with subsequent iterative development of increasingly deliverable devices and improved system recognition may all be relevant. In the present meta-analysis, approximately 25% of coronary perforations were managed with a covered stent but we hypothesise that in the contemporary treatment of catastrophic Ellis III perforation this figure is likely to be much higher despite the elevated risk of stent thrombosis after implantation. Despite incomplete data, our analysis would suggest that 85%–90% of CAP can be managed successfully without surgical intervention. It is worth noting that the use of covered stents has not completely negated the need for surgical salvage in patients with CAP. This may be relevant in CTO PCI with rare cases of dry tamponade resulting from perforation particularly in patients with prior CABG.

Limitations

Our meta-analysis using study level data has several important limitations. First, the analysis is limited by the available data and is subject to publication bias as well as subjective interpretation of presence of coronary perforations and their severity by investigators and operators which have not been standardised. Second, the data regarding management of coronary perforations is...
Coronary perforation is a recognised complication of PCI with an incidence of around 1 in 250 procedures (0.39%). Severe perforations (Ellis III) have become increasingly common however overall perforation mortality rate (7.5%) has trended lower in recent years. Female sex, CKD, hypertension or a history of CABG are common clinical risk factors for coronary perforation. More research into coronary perforation prevention and management strategies are warranted.
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