Prevalence and haemodynamic profiles of pulmonary hypertension in cardiac amyloidosis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives While cardiac amyloidosis (CA) classically involves the left ventricle (LV), less is known about its impact on the right ventricle (RV) and pulmonary vasculature. We performed a retrospective analysis to identify the prevalence and types of pulmonary hypertension (PH) profiles in CA and determine haemodynamic and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) predictors of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE).

Methods Patients with CA who underwent CMR and right heart catheterisation (RHC) within 1 year between 2010 and 2019 were included. Patients were assigned the following haemodynamic profiles based on RHC: no PH, precapillary PH, isolated postcapillary PH (IPCPH), or combined precapillary and postcapillary PH (CPCPH). The relationship between PH profile and MACE (death, heart failure hospitalisation) was assessed using survival analysis. CMR and RV parameters were correlated with MACE using Cox-regression analysis.

Results A total of 52 patients were included (age 69±9 years, 85% men). RHC was performed during biopsy in 44 (85%) and for clinical indications in 8 (15%) patients. Rates of no PH, precapillary PH, isolated postcapillary PH (IPCPH) and combined precapillary and postcapillary PH (CPCPH) were 5 (10%), 3 (6%), 29 (55%) and 15 (29%), respectively. Haemodynamic PH profile did not correlate with risk of death (p=0.98) or MACE (p=0.67). Transpulmonary gradient (TPG) (HR 0.88, CI 0.80 to 0.97), RV, (HR 0.95, CI 0.92 to 0.98) and LV ejection fraction (HR 0.95, CI 0.92 to 0.98) were significantly associated with MACE.

Conclusions PH is highly prevalent in CA, even at the time of diagnosis. While IPCPH was most common, CPCPH is not infrequent. TPG and RV ejection fraction (RVEF) are prognostic markers in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac amyloidosis (CA) is a disorder in which abnormally folded proteins deposit in the myocardium, resulting in end-organ dysfunction. While previously thought to be rare, it is increasingly recognised as a common cause of heart failure and low-flow, low-gradient aortic stenosis in the elderly.1, 2 CA is most commonly caused by either transthyretin (ATTR) or immunoglobulin light chain (AL) protein. While the effects of CA on the left ventricle (LV) have been previously well described, less is known about its impact on the pulmonary vasculature and the right heart.

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is defined by societal guidelines as a mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥20 mm Hg.3 PH can be further subcategorised based on haemodynamic profile assessed using right heart catheterisation (RHC) into precapillary PH, isolated postcapillary PH (IPCPH) or combined pre and postcapillary PH (CPCPH) (table 1).3 Previous studies have shown that these distinct PH haemodynamic profiles affect outcomes, with CPCPH portending the
worst prognosis. While pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and pulmonary artery pressure appear to be adverse prognostic markers in CA, the prevalence of PH and its haemodynamic profiles in CA are currently unknown.

As myocardial amyloid deposition results in a restrictive cardiomyopathy and left-sided heart failure, one might postulate IPCPH to be predominant. However, case series of precapillary PH in patients with CA suggest a more complex aetiology. Additionally, rates of CPCPH in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) are as high as 11%–40%, suggesting that long-standing postcapillary PH can lead to pulmonary vascular remodelling and worse prognosis. Amyloid deposition within the pulmonary vasculature in CA may also accelerate pulmonary vascular disease irrespective of left heart pressures.

We performed a single-centre, retrospective analysis to identify the prevalence of PH and haemodynamic PH profiles among patients with CA. We also explored which haemodynamic and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) markers of pulmonary vascular disease were associated with adverse events in this population. We hypothesised that PH would be common among CA and that IPCPH would be the predominant phenotype. We further theorised that markers of right ventricular dysfunction and pulmonary vascular disease would be associated with worse outcomes in this population.

### METHODS

We retrospectively identified consecutive patients with confirmed CA—either AL or ATTR-CA—who had undergone both comprehensive CMR examination and RHC between October 2010 and July 2019 at a single academic medical centre (figure 1). CA was defined in accordance with consensus guidelines as positive endomyocardial biopsy demonstrating amyloid fibrils, positive extracardiac biopsy with typical cardiac imaging features or grade ≥2 uptake on technetium pyrophosphate scan. CA subtype was determined either histologically or noninvasively by grade ≥2 uptake on technetium pyrophosphate scan in the absence of a monoclonal light chain. Patients were excluded if RHC and CMR were performed ≥1 year apart from each other or if there was insufficient chart documentation to confirm CA diagnosis and subtype. The Ohio State University Biomedical Sciences Institutional Review Board approved this retrospective study and waived informed consent.

### Patient involvement

As this study was retrospective, there was, therefore, no direct patient involvement in the design or analysis of this research.

### Clinical data

Clinical characteristics and comorbidity information were obtained through a review of the electronic medical record at the time of CMR and included: age, gender, body mass index, CA subtype (immunoglobulin light chain or ATTR), haematocrit, troponin, B-type natriuretic peptide, history of hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, heart failure and New York Heart Association class. All-cause mortality and combined major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) including death plus heart failure hospitalisation—defined as admission for decompensated heart failure with evidence of congestion requiring intravenous loop diuretics—were adjudicated by chart review.

### Right heart catheterisation

Haemodynamic parameters collected included right atrial, mean pulmonary artery, pulmonary artery

### Table 1 Definitions of pulmonary hypertension haemodynamic profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No PH</th>
<th>Pre-capillary PH</th>
<th>Isolated post-capillary PH</th>
<th>Combined pre-capillary and post-capillary PH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean pulmonary artery pressure</td>
<td>&lt;25 mm Hg</td>
<td>&gt;25 mm Hg</td>
<td>≥25 mm Hg</td>
<td>&gt;25 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure</td>
<td>&lt;15 mm Hg</td>
<td>&lt;15 mm Hg</td>
<td>≥15 mm Hg</td>
<td>≥15 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vascular resistance</td>
<td>&lt;3 WU</td>
<td>≥3 WU</td>
<td>&lt;3 WU</td>
<td>&gt;3WU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PH, pulmonary hypertension; WU, Woods Units.
Pulmonary vascular disease

diastolic, pulmonary artery systolic and pulmonary capillary wedge pressures, Fick cardiac output and index and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). Transpulmonary gradient (TPG) was calculated as the difference between mean pulmonary artery and mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure. Diastolic pulmonary gradient (DPG) was calculated as the difference between pulmonary artery diastolic and mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressures.11 12 Patients were categorised invasively as having no PH, precapillary PH, IPCPH or CPCPH using previously described criteria (table 1).3 We used a mean pulmonary artery pressure cut-off of \(\geq 25\) mm Hg instead of \(\geq 20\) mm Hg, as the study data predate the publication of recent European Respiratory Society (ERS) recommendations.13

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance

Patients underwent comprehensive CMR examinations with cine imaging, T1/T2 mapping and late gadolinium enhancement imaging using standard Society of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance guidelines.14 Atrial and ventricular volumes were indexed to body surface area. Global circumferential, radial and longitudinal left and right ventricular strain were assessed from the cine images using feature tracking available in CVI42 imaging software (CMR42, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging Calgary, Alberta, Canada).15

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc Statistical Software V.18.5 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium;
Table 3  Comparison of CMR parameters across groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire cohort</th>
<th>No PH (n=5)</th>
<th>Pre-capillary PH (n=3)</th>
<th>IPC-PH (n=29)</th>
<th>CpcPH (n=15)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LV mass (gm/m²)</td>
<td>115.7±29.4</td>
<td>105.5±25.9</td>
<td>124.9±14.1</td>
<td>117.0±27.7</td>
<td>114.8±26.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>18.7±4.5</td>
<td>18.2±6.1</td>
<td>17.0±6.1</td>
<td>19.1±4.6</td>
<td>18.3±4.0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>72.5 (62.9–86.2)</td>
<td>60.2 (46.9–95.0)</td>
<td>72.0 (70.5–92.2)</td>
<td>75.8 (65.5–90.1)</td>
<td>69.3 (61.3–73.8)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>40.7 (32.9–50.4)</td>
<td>24.4 (20.6–45.5)</td>
<td>47.3 (44.8–56.8)</td>
<td>41.3 (33.3–51.3)</td>
<td>40.0 (36.3–48.3)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>43.0±12.9</td>
<td>53.9±17.0</td>
<td>35.4±17.6</td>
<td>42.6±11.9</td>
<td>41.7±11.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVESVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>47.0 (34.5–60.5)</td>
<td>32.8 (26.0–51.9)</td>
<td>75.5 (65.8–82.6)</td>
<td>43.6 (37.5–57.7)</td>
<td>51.4 (35.0–60.1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF (%)</td>
<td>40.5±11.9</td>
<td>49.6±10.2</td>
<td>31.9±15.6</td>
<td>41.2±11.0</td>
<td>37.9±12.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LA volume (mL/m²)</td>
<td>67.6±15.4</td>
<td>58.4±20.3</td>
<td>86.5±7.7</td>
<td>70.2±13.0</td>
<td>62.1±15.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native T1 (msec)</td>
<td>1109±71</td>
<td>1113±40</td>
<td>1090±14</td>
<td>1111±77</td>
<td>1108±79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECV (%)</td>
<td>53.9±11.5</td>
<td>48.4±9.0</td>
<td>60.7±12.6</td>
<td>53.0±11.5</td>
<td>56.4±12.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak radial LV strain (%)</td>
<td>15.6 (10.5–18.7)</td>
<td>18.2 (11.6–26.7)</td>
<td>15.7 (13.7–16.4)</td>
<td>16.6 (10.3–20.9)</td>
<td>13.7 (10.8–16.8)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak circumferential LV strain (%)</td>
<td>-11.2 (-15.9--10.9)</td>
<td>-12.0 (-16.2--9.0)</td>
<td>-11.5 (-11.7--11.0)</td>
<td>-12.0 (-13.8--8.3)</td>
<td>-10.3 (-12.1--8.5)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak LV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>-6.8 (-8.9--5.4)</td>
<td>-6.7 (-6.8--6.4)</td>
<td>-7.4 (-8.2--5.8)</td>
<td>-6.9 (-9.5--5.2)</td>
<td>-7.2 (-8.5--5.6)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV radial strain (%)</td>
<td>10.6 (7.6--14.2)</td>
<td>17.3 (4.4--30.1)</td>
<td>13.9 (6.3--21.6)</td>
<td>10.9 (8.4--15.0)</td>
<td>9.6 (7.0--10.7)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV circumferential strain (%)</td>
<td>-7.9 (-10.0--5.9)</td>
<td>-10.4 (-17.2--3.6)</td>
<td>-9.1 (-13.2--5.0)</td>
<td>-8.2 (-10.8--6.1)</td>
<td>-6.9 (-8.3--5.9)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>-12.9 (-16.5--10.2)</td>
<td>-16.2±7.2</td>
<td>-13.0±5.2</td>
<td>-14.5±5.4</td>
<td>-12.6±3.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LV mass (gm/m²)</td>
<td>115.7±29.4</td>
<td>105.5±25.9</td>
<td>124.9±14.1</td>
<td>117.0±27.7</td>
<td>114.8±26.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>18.7±4.5</td>
<td>18.2±6.1</td>
<td>17.0±6.1</td>
<td>19.1±4.6</td>
<td>18.3±4.0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>72.5 (62.9–86.2)</td>
<td>60.2 (46.9–95.0)</td>
<td>72.0 (70.5–92.2)</td>
<td>75.8 (65.5–90.1)</td>
<td>69.3 (61.3–73.8)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>40.7 (32.9–50.4)</td>
<td>24.4 (20.6–45.5)</td>
<td>47.3 (44.8–56.8)</td>
<td>41.3 (33.3–51.3)</td>
<td>40.0 (36.3–48.3)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>43.0±12.9</td>
<td>53.9±17.0</td>
<td>35.4±17.6</td>
<td>42.6±11.9</td>
<td>41.7±11.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVESVI (mL/m³)</td>
<td>47.0 (34.5–60.5)</td>
<td>32.8 (26.0–51.9)</td>
<td>75.5 (65.8–82.6)</td>
<td>43.6 (37.5–57.7)</td>
<td>51.4 (35.0–60.1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF (%)</td>
<td>40.5±11.9</td>
<td>49.6±10.2</td>
<td>31.9±15.6</td>
<td>41.2±11.0</td>
<td>37.9±12.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LA volume (mL/m²)</td>
<td>67.6±15.4</td>
<td>58.4±20.3</td>
<td>86.5±7.7</td>
<td>70.2±13.0</td>
<td>62.1±15.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native T1 (msec)</td>
<td>1109±71</td>
<td>1113±40</td>
<td>1090±14</td>
<td>1111±77</td>
<td>1108±79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECV (%)</td>
<td>53.9±11.5</td>
<td>48.4±9.0</td>
<td>60.7±12.6</td>
<td>53.0±11.5</td>
<td>56.4±12.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak radial LV strain (%)</td>
<td>15.6 (10.5–18.7)</td>
<td>18.2 (11.6–26.7)</td>
<td>15.7 (13.7–16.4)</td>
<td>16.6 (10.3–20.9)</td>
<td>13.7 (10.8–16.8)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak circumferential LV strain (%)</td>
<td>-11.2 (-15.9--10.9)</td>
<td>-12.0 (-16.2--9.0)</td>
<td>-11.5 (-11.7--11.0)</td>
<td>-12.0 (-13.8--8.3)</td>
<td>-10.3 (-12.1--8.5)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak LV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>-6.8 (-8.9--5.4)</td>
<td>-6.7 (-6.8--6.4)</td>
<td>-7.4 (-8.2--5.8)</td>
<td>-6.9 (-9.5--5.2)</td>
<td>-7.2 (-8.5--5.6)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV radial strain (%)</td>
<td>10.6 (7.6--14.2)</td>
<td>17.3 (4.4--30.1)</td>
<td>13.9 (6.3--21.6)</td>
<td>10.9 (8.4--15.0)</td>
<td>9.6 (7.0--10.7)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV circumferential strain (%)</td>
<td>-7.9 (-10.0--5.9)</td>
<td>-10.4 (-17.2--3.6)</td>
<td>-9.1 (-13.2--5.0)</td>
<td>-8.2 (-10.8--6.1)</td>
<td>-6.9 (-8.3--5.9)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak RV longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>-12.9 (-16.5--10.2)</td>
<td>-16.2±7.2</td>
<td>-13.0±5.2</td>
<td>-14.5±5.4</td>
<td>-12.6±3.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
Intergroup differences between haemodynamic PH profiles were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all continuous variables. Normal distribution of residuals was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and equality of error variances was determined using the Levene’s test in the ANOVA analysis. The data are expressed as mean±SD and ANOVA p value is reported for those variables where normality was not rejected and the Levene’s test was not positive. If the Levene’s test was positive, Welch’s ANOVA was used. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used when normality was rejected. The data are presented as median (IQR). Scheffe’s and Dunn post hoc tests were used, respectively, for positive ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests to perform pairwise comparison of the four groups. The data are presented as frequency with percentage. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

The relationship between right ventricle (RV) function—as assessed by right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) and RV strain—was compared with extracellular vol (ECV), mean pulmonary artery and PVR using Pearson’s correlation coefficient for normally distributed data and using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient for non-normal data. Regression diagnostics were performed to investigate the relationship between predictors and response variable and test model assumptions including linear relationship, no or little multicollinearity and homoscedasticity. Significant relationships were adjusted for age, CA subtype and PH phenotype using multivariable regression analysis. A log-rank test was used to determine if there were significant differences in the hospitalisation rate and survival distribution for the different PH phenotypes. Additionally, univariate Cox regression model was performed to investigate the independent predictors of the combined endpoint of death or heart failure hospitalisation and all-cause mortality. Proportionality assumptions of the Cox regression models were assessed by using Schoenfeld residuals. The deviance residuals and the dfbeta values were applied to examine influential observations. Martingale residuals against continuous predictors were used to assess non-linearity and the functional form of predictors. HRs are presented as mean and 95% CIs. Furthermore, to evaluate the importance of each parameter in predicting the combined endpoint of death or heart failure hospitalisation, random forest algorithm for survival analysis was applied. The authors agree to make all data available in a deidentified manner if requested.

RESULTS

Prevalence of PH and haemodynamic profiles

A total of 52 patients were included in the study (mean age 69±9 years, 85% men, 35% non-white, 60% ATTR-CA). RHC was performed for clinical purposes in eight
patients (15%) and at the time of endomyocardial biopsy in 44 (85%) patients. The median time between RHC and CMR examination was 15 (4 to 42) days. Of these patients, 5 (10%) had no PH, 3 (6%) had isolated precapillary PH, 29 (55%) had IPC-PH and 15 (29%) had CPCPH. The prevalence of ATTR and AL subtype did not differ significantly among groups. There were no significant intergroup differences with respect to age, gender, ethnicity, comorbidities or New York Heart Association class (table 2). A comparison of haemodynamic data is presented in table 2; significant intergroup variables including p-values are presented in online supplemental table 1. There were no significant intergroup differences in Fick Cardiac index.

**CMR characteristics**

With respect to CMR parameters, LV mass, indexed LV end diastolic volume, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF),
Pulmonary vascular disease

RVEF, peak RV radial, circumferential and longitudinal strain did not differ significantly among PH groups (table 3). As compared with those without PH, LA volumes were significantly higher in those with IPCPH and CPCPH. There were no significant intergroup differences with respect to ECV, native T1 relaxation time or LV strain. All patients had delayed enhancement of the LV and 39 (80%) had RV involvement on late gadolinium enhancement imaging.

Correlation between RV function, haemodynamics and amyloid burden

There was a significant inverse correlation between RVEF and ECV ($r=-0.60$, $p<0.0001$) (figure 2). Similarly, peak RV radial ($r=-0.51$, $p=0.002$), circumferential ($r=0.51$, $p=0.003$) and longitudinal strain ($r=-0.39$, $p=0.008$) were significantly associated with ECV. However, no significant correlation existed between ECV and mean pulmonary artery pressure ($p=0.38$) or PVR ($p=0.23$). Neither PVR nor mean pulmonary artery pressure was significantly associated with any of the RV strain parameters in univariate analysis. ECV was also weakly correlated with LVEF ($r=-0.37$, $p=0.009$). In multivariate analysis, ECV remained significantly associated with RVEF ($t$ Ratio $=-3.31$, $p=0.002$), peak radial ($t$-ratio $=-2.85$, $p=0.009$), and circumferential ($t$-ratio $=2.58$, $p=0.016$) but not longitudinal RV strain ($p=0.06$) after adjusting for age, CA subtype, PH phenotype and Fick CI.

Outcomes

At a median follow-up of 194 days, 35 (67%) patients had died and 27 (52%) experienced heart failure hospitalisation. Combined MACE of death or heart failure hospitalisation occurred in 42 (81%) of patients. The median time to first heart failure hospitalisation was 293 days (IQR, 104–1324 days) and median time to death was 317 days (IQR, 93–911 days).

There were no significant differences in either MACE or all-cause mortality among PH haemodynamic profiles in time to event analysis ($p=0.67$ by log-rank test, figure 3). Consistently, PH haemodynamic phenotypes were not significantly associated with all-cause mortality ($p=0.98$ by log-rank test, figure 3).

In Cox regression analysis, RVEF (HR 0.95; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98; $p=0.002$), TPG (HR 0.88; 95% CI 0.80 to 0.97; $p=0.009$) and LVEF (HR=0.95; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98, $p=0.009$) were all associated with combined MACE (table 4). Similarly, RVEF (HR 0.96; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98; $p=0.009$) and LVEF (HR=0.95; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98; $p=0.009$) were independently associated with all-cause mortality (table 4). ECV was significantly associated with mortality (HR=1.03; 95% CI 1.002 to 1.06; $p=0.036$) but not MACE. Furthermore, LVEF, RVEF and TPG (sorted in the decreasing order of predictor importance) were significantly associated with MACE (figure 4). In contrast, indexed right ventricular end diastolic volume, peak right ventricular longitudinal strain, mean pulmonary artery pressure, PVR and DPG were not associated with death or MACE.

**DISCUSSION**

We evaluated the prevalence of PH and prognostic significance of right heart parameters in patients with CA.
Pulmonary vascular disease rarely occurs in the absence of increased left-sided filling pressures. Yet, over one-fourth of patients had haemodynamic profiles consistent with CPCPH, suggesting that some element of intrinsic pulmonary vascular disease is not uncommon in CA. Pulmonary vascular disease is typically a late complication of left heart failure and is thought to occur due to the long-term effects of vascular distension and inflammation on the pulmonary vasculature.20,21

The treatment of PH in the setting of left heart disease is complicated as excessive pulmonary vasodilation can worsen left-heart failure. However, despite these reasonable concerns, several recent pharmaceutical and interventional trials targeting the pulmonary vasculature have shown benefit in CPCPH.22–25 Whether targeted PH therapies may similarly benefit appropriately phenotyped patients with CA with CPCPH is currently unknown.

Correlation of RV function with pulmonary vascular haemodynamics and amyloid burden

Interestingly, contrary to our initial hypothesis, right ventricular function—as assessed using CMR—did not significantly differ between PH groups. Instead, right ventricular function correlated much more closely with amyloid burden as assessed by ECV than with haemodynamic PH profile. ECV has been previously shown to correlate with histologic amyloid burden.26–27

One potential explanation is that right ventricular dysfunction in CA may be driven by intrinsic right ventricular myopathy from amyloid deposition rather than from PH. Unlike other aetiologies of left heart failure, CA is an infiltrative cardiomyopathy, which frequently involves both ventricles. In previous biopsy and autopsy studies, amyloid deposits were identified in the RV in 85%–95% of patients with CA.28,29 Additionally, apical sparing of longitudinal strain—a classical finding in the LV—also occurs in the RV in CA. Both of these findings support the theory that amyloid infiltration of the RV directly contributes to right ventricular dysfunction in this population.

Pulmonary vascular disease, right heart failure, and outcomes

Given the small size of our cohort and limited number of patients with precapillary PH and without PH, our study was underpowered to detect differences in clinical outcomes across PH haemodynamic profiles. Additionally, the overall poor prognosis of the cohort further complicated our ability to detect intergroup differences in outcomes. Further studies—ideally in larger multicentre cohorts—are needed to determine whether PH haemodynamic profiles are prognostic in patients with CA.

We did find that TPG was associated with MACE in CA. Elevation in TPG is indicative of intrinsic pulmonary vascular disease and can differentiate CPCPH from PHLHD.12 Increased TPG has been previously associated with increased mortality in the HFpEF and HFrEF populations.11 Additionally, we validate previous studies in demonstrating an association between left and right ventricular function and adverse events in CA.30–33

Four key points can be inferred from our analysis: (1) PH is highly prevalent at the time of CA diagnosis, (2) while isolated precapillary PH is rare, IPCPH and CPCPH occur frequently in CA, (3) right ventricular dysfunction correlates better with amyloid burden than with haemodynamic markers of pulmonary vascular disease and (4) TPG, right and left ventricular ejection fractions are associated with adverse outcomes in CA. The key findings of this manuscript are summarized in figure 5.

Prevalence and hemodynamic profiles of PH in CA

Our study highlights the prevalence and haemodynamic profile of PH in patients with CA. PH was nearly ubiquitous in our cohort with over 88% of patients having mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥25 mm Hg. Additionally, PH was present at the time of diagnosis in 91% of cases, highlighting the need for earlier diagnosis of CA prior to onset of IPCPH and CPCPH. While we did not use a non-CA control group, these rates appear to be much higher than previous reported rates in the general HFpEF and HFrEF populations.8,19 Isolated precapillary PH was rare in our cohort, supporting the theory that pulmonary vascular disease rarely occurs in the absence of PH.8 Slivnick J, et al. Open Heart 2022;9:e001808. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2021-001808
Given the small size of our cohort, we were unable to robustly control for covariates. Therefore, the relationships between these parameters and outcomes should be viewed as exploratory.

Limitations
Due to the small size of our cohort and the retrospective nature of the study, there exists the possibility of type II error due to confounding. With the size of our cohort, we additionally could not perform multivariable analysis to control for confounders due to model overfitting. Therefore, our findings should ideally be validated in larger multicentre cohorts, which would enable more robust controlling for covariates. Given its retrospective nature, RHC and CMR were not performed simultaneously. It is, therefore, possible that these studies may have been performed under different cardiac loading conditions. However, in the vast majority of patients, CMR and RHC were performed within 40 days of each other. Additionally, RHC and CMR were performed for clinical indications, which may select for sicker patients. However, as discussed above, a majority of RHCs were performed at the time of diagnosis during endomyocardial biopsy. Even after excluding RHC done for clinical indications, the prevalence of PH was 91%, even higher than in the overall cohort. Our study also included both AL and ATTR cardiac amyloidosis, which may differ in their cardiac manifestations. While we were unable to further subdivide the cohort due to sample size, we did not note any significant differences in subtype prevalence among the haemodynamic profiles. Finally, based on survival data and CMR parameters, our cohort demonstrates advanced stage disease. It is unknown whether these results can be generalised to patients with CA with milder disease.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, we demonstrate a high prevalence of PH among patients with CA even at the time of first diagnosis, highlighting a need for earlier diagnosis of CA. While IPCPH was the predominant haemodynamic phenotype identified, a substantial proportion of patients had CPCPH. RVEF and TPG were both associated with MACE in CA.
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