Exercise stress echocardiography: a great tool that can be adapted to the clinical question?
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In recent years, there has been an increasing use of stress echocardiography in the assessment of non-ischaemic cardiac disease. Exercise stress echocardiography (ESE) provides a unique ability for simultaneous assessment of both cardiac performance and exercise-related non-invasive haemodynamic changes, which can help guide treatment and inform about the prognosis of patients.

The recommendations of European Association for Cardiovascular Imaging and American Society of Echocardiography have tried to standardise the use of ESE for non-ischaemic purposes.

In an echocardiography laboratory, we do not only detect wall motion abnormalities resulting from coronary artery stenosis, but also micro-vessels’ disease, left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, heart valve diseases and their consequences for the pulmonary circulation and the right ventricle.

ESE has been proposed as a diagnostic tool in several pathologies, such as aortic stenosis, mitral regurgitation (MR) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Diastolic stress test is advocated by recommendations and consensus papers, and its clinical use is increasing. In the daily clinical practice, it is very simple and informative. In contrast to some other indications, diastolic ESE is based on standardised protocols permitting uniform assessment and ensuring good reproducibility.

The two basic modalities for ESE are a treadmill and bicycle, preferably semisupine on a tilt table, which provides optimal imaging information on cardiac responses to stress (figure 1).

In MR, there is evidence that ESE can assist in the diagnostic process, revealing the dynamic nature of valve incompetence. Exercise-induced increase in the degree of regurgitation and/or increase in systolic pulmonary artery pressure (SPAP) are used as markers of the clinical significance of MR despite its non-severe status at rest. In patients with severe MR on resting imaging, exercise assessment should focus on SPAP and left ventricle (LV) systolic reserve, which might provide prognostic implications.

In this issue of Open Heart, Kagiyama et al compared the physiological effects and prognostic value of another stress modality—handgrip with a semisupine bicycle ESE in patients with MR. In contrast to dynamic exercise associated with bicycling, handgrip provides isometric exercise with somewhat different impact on haemodynamics. The potential advantage of handgrip is the simplicity of application and feasibility for a wider proportion of patients, however, the clinical utility of this approach is poorly validated.

Exercise-induced functional MR may affect functional capacity and outcome. Therefore, a ‘proper’ exploration of dynamic changes in functional MR is clinically important. Kagiyama et al have shown that MR can increase during handgrip-ESE to the extent even larger than during bicycle ESE, however, increases in LV contractile state and SPAP are significantly smaller than those induced by dynamic exercise. Moreover, only data acquired during bicycle ESE–LV contractile reserve by global longitudinal strain proved to be of prognostic significance, which provides implications for patient management. Accordingly, the clinical value seems to be better for the ‘classical’ bicycle ESE.

However, a semisupine bicycle ESE is sometimes challenging as it requires a dedicated equipment and cannot be performed in each patient due to, for example, orthopaedic problems. Therefore, alternative stress testing modalities are sought to circumvent the above limitations.

Is there a place for handgrip in these competitions? In view of the similar or even larger effect on MR volume in comparison...
with bicycle ESE, handgrip might be considered as a first-choice diagnostic option in patients requiring stress testing to evaluate MR severity, especially in those unable to perform dynamic exercise. However, given the aforementioned differences in cardiac responses to both types of exercise demonstrated by Kagiyama, the definite conclusions should be made cautiously.

An important inference from the study by Kagiyama is that isometric and dynamic exercise do not provide equivalent clinical information in MR. A semisupine bicycle ESE better addresses SPAP and LV systolic reserve, and, consequently, outperforms handgrip ESE as a prognosticating tool.

The work of Kagiyama et al helps in advocating for a wider use of ESE in MR according to a more standardised approach as has been proposed in the respective position papers. The message from this study is important because ESE could certainly reach a better level of recommendation in the guidelines dedicated to MR. For the time being, we are at the beginning of the road and more investigations are needed to support and extend the findings of Kagiyama et al.
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