

## Supplementary File 2. Overview of key MitraClip™ studies

RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS (RCTs)	
<b>EVEREST II</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 279 patients with significant (<math>\geq 3+</math>) MR (PMR or SMR) who were suitable candidates for surgery</li> <li>• MitraClip™ versus conventional surgery</li> <li>• USA and Canada</li> <li>• Enrolment: 2005–2008</li> </ul>
<b>COAPT</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 614 HF patients with SMR (<math>\geq 3+</math>) unsuitable for mitral valve surgery</li> <li>• MitraClip™ + GDMT versus GDMT alone</li> <li>• 78 sites in USA</li> <li>• Enrolment: 2012–2017 (follow-up ongoing)</li> </ul>
<b>MITRA-FR</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 304 patients with severe SMR</li> <li>• MitraClip™ + GDMT versus GDMT alone</li> <li>• 37 sites in France</li> <li>• Enrolment: 2013–2017</li> </ul>
<b>RESHAPE-HF2</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 650 patients (including 40 from RESHAPE-HF) with SMR</li> <li>• MitraClip™ + GDMT +/-CRT versus GDMT +/-CRT alone</li> <li>• CZ, DE, DK, ES, GR, IT, PL, PT, UK</li> <li>• 2015–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>MATTERHORN</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 210 patients with PMR or SMR with left ventricle dysfunction</li> <li>• MitraClip™ versus surgery</li> <li>• Germany, Switzerland</li> <li>• 2015–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>MITRA-HR</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 330 patients with severe PMR</li> <li>• MitraClip™ versus conventional surgery</li> <li>• France</li> <li>• 2018–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>REPAIR MR</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ~500 moderate-surgical-risk patients with severe PMR candidates for open heart surgery.</li> <li>• MitraClip™ versus open heart mitral surgical repair.</li> <li>• 60 sites in the USA, Canada, and Europe</li> <li>• Enrolment: 2020–ongoing</li> </ul>
REGISTRIES	
<b>EVEREST II HRS</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prospective, multicentre study which assessed the efficacy and safety of MitraClip™ in 78 patients with significant (<math>\geq 3+</math>) MR (PMR or SMR) deemed high risk surgical candidates.</li> <li>• USA</li> <li>• 2007–2008</li> </ul>
<b>ACCESS-EU</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two-phase, prospective, observational study of 567 patients with PMR or SMR who received MitraClip™</li> <li>• 14 sites in CH, DE, DK, IT</li> <li>• 2008–2011</li> </ul>
<b>EVEREST II REALISM</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prospective, continued-access study to investigate the real-world use of MitraClip™ in low versus high surgical risk patients (N=965) with PMR or SMR</li> <li>• USA</li> <li>• 2009–2011</li> </ul>

<b>GRASP</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enrolled 117 patients with grade <math>\geq 3+</math> MR (PMR or SMR) deemed high risk for conventional surgery who received MitraClip™</li> <li>• Single centre in Italy.</li> <li>• 2008–2012</li> </ul>
<b>MULTI-CENTRE EXPERIENCE</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Registry enrolling 173 patients with PMR or SMR treated with MitraClip™</li> <li>• Three centres in Denmark, Sweden, and UK</li> <li>• 2009–2012</li> </ul>
<b>FRENCH REGISTRY</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A study of short- and mid-term efficacy and safety of MitraClip™ in inoperable/high surgical risk patients (N=62) with PMR or SMR</li> <li>• Seven centres in France</li> <li>• 2010–2012</li> </ul>
<b>EUROPEAN SENTINEL</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A real-world overview of 628 patients with PMR or SMR who received MitraClip™</li> <li>• BE, CH, DE, DK, IT, PL, SE, UK</li> <li>• 2011–2012</li> </ul>
<b>TRAMI</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A study of MitraClip™ efficacy and safety in daily clinical practice based on 1,064 patients with PMR or SMR and cardiac comorbidities</li> <li>• 21 sites in Germany</li> <li>• 2010–2013</li> </ul>
<b>EXPAND</b> (completed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A contemporary, prospective study evaluating real-world experience of performance and safety of MitraClip™ (NTR, XTR) in 1,000 patients with PMR, SMR, or mixed MR</li> <li>• CH, DE, ES, IL, IT, NL, UK, and USA</li> <li>• 2018–2019</li> </ul>
<b>TVT</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Registry enrolling all patients (including those with PMR or SMR; N=12,334 as of September 2017) that have undergone transcatheter valve replacement and repair procedures for the monitoring of safety and real-world outcomes</li> <li>• Multiple centres throughout the US</li> <li>• 2011–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>MITRA SWISS</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prospective, nationwide investigator initiated MitraClip™ registry that continuously enrolls patients with either PMR or SMR undergoing MitraClip™ procedure (N=1,212 as of August 2019)</li> <li>• 2011–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>GIOTTO</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prospective, observational patient registry which will enrol up to 1,500 MitraClip™ patients with PMR or SMR for follow-up to 5 years</li> <li>• Italy</li> <li>• 2016–ongoing</li> </ul>
<b>EXPAND G4</b> (ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prospective patient registry to assess the safety and performance of the fourth generation MitraClip™ device in 100 MitraClip™ G4 recipients with either PMR, SMR, or mixed MR</li> <li>• 17 sites in the US</li> <li>• 2020–ongoing</li> </ul>