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ABSTRACT

Objective Cavo-tricuspid isthmus atrial flutter (CTI-AFL) is an important arrhythmia to recognise because there is a highly effective and relatively low-risk ablation strategy. However, clinical experience has demonstrated that providers often have difficulty distinguishing AFL from atrial fibrillation.

Methods We developed a novel ECG-based three-step algorithm to identify CTI-AFL based on established CTI flutter characteristics and verified on consecutive ablation cases of typical flutter, atypical flutter and atrial fibrillation. The algorithm assesses V1/inferior lead F-wave concordance, consistency of P-wave morphology and the presence of isoelectric intervals in the inferior leads. In this observation study, the algorithm was validated on a cohort of 50 second-year medical students. Students were paired in a control and experimental group, and each pair received 10 randomly selected ECGs (from a pool of 50 intracardiac electrogram-proven CTI-AFL and 50 AF or atypical AFL cases). The experimental group received a cover sheet with the CTI algorithm, and the control group received no additional guidance.

Results There was a statistically significant difference in the mean number of correctly identified ECGs among the students in the experimental and control groups (8.12 vs 5.68, p<0.001). Students who used the algorithm correctly identified 2.44 more ECGs as being CTI-AFL or not CTI-AFL. Using the electrophysiology study as the gold standard, the algorithm had an accuracy of 81%, sensitivity of 81%, specificity of 82%, positive predictive value of 78% and negative predictive value of 84% in identifying CTI-AFL.

Conclusion We developed a three-step ECG algorithm that provides a simple, sensitive, specific and accurate tool to identify CTI-AFL.

INTRODUCTION

Atrial flutter (AFL) is a relatively common arrhythmia with an estimated annual incidence of 200000 cases in the USA. In the 1970s, AFL was defined as a continuously waving pattern on ECG without an isoelectric baseline in at least one lead. It was further classified as type I or type II based on F-wave rate and the ability to be entrained during an invasive electrophysiology study. Since these initial descriptions, there have been numerous observations regarding the electrophysiological mechanisms underlying AFL. In the early 2000s, the cardiology community adopted a revised definition of AFL based on atrial location and arrhythmia mechanism. Generally, AFL can be classified as cavo-tricuspid isthmus dependent (CTI-AFL), which accounts for more than 90% of AFLs, or atypical when the mechanism does not include the CTI (figure 1).

CTI-AFL is an important arrhythmia to recognise because there is a highly effective and relatively low-risk ablation strategy, which often relieves symptoms and may reduce adverse consequences such as tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy. However, clinical experience has demonstrated that providers often have difficulty distinguishing AFL from atrial fibrillation. It is relevant to make this distinction because these two arrhythmias often have different initial management.
strategies. Patients with atrial fibrillation may benefit from an initial strategy of electrical cardioversion, antiarrhythmic therapy or an attempt at rate control. Patients with AFL should often be referred to an electrophysiologist to consider ablation as the first-line therapy. Despite numerous descriptions of CTI-AFL patterns, there is no specific algorithmic approach to recognise CTI-AFL on a surface ECG. Using characteristic patterns of flutter described in the literature, we developed the CTI algorithm to identify CTI-AFL. This algorithm was then validated using a series of ablation-proven cases.

METHODS

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in this study. Students were involved in this study after the development of the algorithm and collection of deidentified sample ECGs. Students were recruited through campus-wide emails on a voluntary basis. Recruitment emails informed the students of the 30-min or less time requirement.

Development of the algorithm

A literature review was performed to identify common features of CTI-AFL on ECG and to search for any existing algorithms. While there were some attempts to use surface ECG to identify specific electrophysiological mechanisms of AFL (eg, upper loop re-entry), these discussions were often too complex for our goal of helping clinicians identify CTI-AFL. We chose to focus on descriptions of typical AFL and typical reverse AFL. Typical AFL (counter-clockwise) has a sawtooth pattern, negative flutter waves in the inferior leads and V6, and a positive flutter wave in lead V1; the polarity of these waves is opposite for typical AFL. A paired t-test was used to analyse whether the algorithm improved the students’ ability to accurately define CTI-AFL. A Bayesian statistical analysis was used with standard definitions of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value to identify the testing characteristics of the algorithm.

RESULTS

In total, the 50 students interpreted 500 ECGs (from a pool of 100 ECGs) with a 100% completion rate. Using a paired t-test, there was a statistically significant difference in the mean number of correctly identified ECGs among the students in the experimental and control groups (8.12 vs 5.68, p<0.001; table 1). Students who used the algorithm correctly identified 2.44 more ECGs as being CTI-AFL. Using intracardiac electrograms and response to ablation as the gold standard, the algorithm had an accuracy of 81%, sensitivity of 81%, specificity of 82%, positive predictive value of 78% and negative predictive value of 84% in identifying CTI-AFL.

DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study is that an algorithm for recognising CTI-AFL based on known ECG characteristics of CTI-AFL outperformed basic ECG knowledge in identifying CTI-AFL. The algorithm is a simple, three-question process that asks yes/no questions. It had a sensitivity of 81%, a specificity of 82%, a positive predictive value of 78% and a negative predictive value of 84%.

Using the algorithm, a group of medical students were
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<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td><strong>Concordance Test.</strong> Is the P-wave vector (direction) in the inferior leads (II, III, or aVF) opposite (discordant to) that of V1? Look for the longest gap between QRS complexes to find P waves that are not overlapped by a T wave or a QRS complex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T</strong></td>
<td><strong>Timing.</strong> Is the morphology of the P-waves uniform across the ECG (any single lead)? If you cannot easily identify P waves because of overlap by the T wave and if the QRS complexes are present at regular intervals (i.e. 2:1 conduction, especially if the QRS rate is 150 BPM), CTI flutter is likely (“yes” answer).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I</strong></td>
<td><strong>Isoelectric Intervals.</strong> Are isoelectric intervals (flat lines between P waves) absent in the inferior leads (leads II, III, or aVF)? CTI flutter should not have an isoelectric segment in leads II, III, and aVF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If all Yes</td>
<td>CTI flutter diagnosed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If any No</td>
<td>CTI flutter excluded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  The CTI algorithm as it appeared when distributed to the medical students in the experimental group. BPM, beats per minute; CTI, cavo-tricuspid isthmus.

able to accurately identify 24% more ECGs as being consistent with CTI-AFL. Regarding the non-CTI AFL ECGs that were identified as CTI, they did appear to have regular flutter leads, but on closer inspection they did not meet at least one of the other two criteria for typical flutter in the algorithm (i.e. V1/inferior lead discordance and/or isoelectric intervals). Conversely, the origins of AFL that students falsely identified as typical AFL were

Figure 3  Parts of the algorithm demonstrated on ECGs. CTI-AFL, cavo-tricuspid isthmus atrial flutter. The left panel shows non-uniform morphology of the P wave and thereby all three criteria are not met thus, this is not CTI-AFL. The middle panel shows an isoelectric interval in the inferior leads and thereby all three criteria are not met thus this is not CTI-AFL. The right panel shows that all three criteria are met thereby, identifying the EGG as CTI-AFL.
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distinguish CTI-AFL from atrial fibrillation, patients with 
AFL can receive definitive therapy with fewer ineffective attempts at rate control. 
Our study has limitations. While the target audience for the algorithm is clinicians who frequently order ECGs and may be the first providers to identify an atrial arrhythmia, the algorithm was validated among medical students. However, medical students were intentionally selected as they represent a large cohort with relatively uniform and basic ECG skills. Thus, their responses were more likely reflective of the algorithm rather than ECG skills based on exposure to ECGs, individual study and experience. In the future, testing could be done in a physician population to ensure that the testing characteristics of the algorithm are similar. Another potential limitation of the study is that the positive predictive value and negative predictive values of the algorithm were around 80%. These numbers are still valuable as a tool to help increase awareness and identification of CTI-AFL. These positive and negative predictive values likely reflect the inherent limitations in the interpretation of surface ECGs. One of the explanations for the lack of a higher specificity may derive from the observation that some non-CTI flutters mimic CTI-AFL on ECG and that some diagnoses can only be made with intracardiac recordings. Furthermore, the ability to observe flutter waves without T-wave overlap was impossible for some of the cases. While the mechanistic distinction between CTI-AFL and non-CTI AFL is critical to make during an ablation procedure, we were willing to accept false positives in our algorithm if it would increase the number of patients referred for potentially curative, ablative therapy.

In conclusion, we developed a three-step ECG algo-
rithm based on known ECG characteristics of CTI-AFL that provides a simple, sensitive, specific and accurate tool to identify CTI-AFL. Early identification of this arrhythmia may allow for more rapid management, reduction in comorbidities and improvement in patient outcomes.
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Table 1 Impact of the CTI algorithm on students’ ability to identify CTI-AFL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>No algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number correct (mean)</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>7.67 to 8.57</td>
<td>5.22 to 6.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFL, atrial flutter; CTI, cavo-tricuspid isthmus.

Table 2 Validation of the CTI algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EP study</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTI</td>
<td>Not CTI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTI</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not CTI</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTI, cavo-tricuspid isthmus; EP, electrophysiology.
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