SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

DEFINITIONS

- **ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI)**
  - Defined as the presence of chest pain lasting >20 min associated with electrocardiographic changes meeting criteria for PPCI (ST-segment elevation ≥1 mm in at least 2 limb ECG leads or ≥2 mm in at least 2 contiguous precordial leads or left bundle branch block of new onset or ST-segment depression ≥2 mm in at least 2 contiguous precordial leads (V1-3/4) suggestive of true posterior STEMI). Diagnostic conformation on coronary angiography was mandated in all patients.

- **Cardiovascular Death**
  - Defined as unexplained sudden death, death secondary to acute MI, heart failure, or arrhythmia.

- **Cerebrovascular accident (CVA)**
  - Defined by the sudden onset of loss of neurologic function caused by an ischemic or haemorrhagic event, which is persistent for greater than 24 hours.

- **Myocardial infarction (MI)**
  - Ischaemic chest pain lasting longer than 20 minutes;
    - With either cardiac enzymes
      - Within 24 hours: not diagnostic
      - Prior to normalisation of cardiac enzymes: 50% increase in troponin T level compared to previous falling level
      - Following normalisation of cardiac enzymes: troponin T levels above upper limit of normal
    - and/or ECG
      - Within 24 hours: new ST elevation
- Otherwise a significant ST-segment change, development of new Q waves in ≥2 contiguous ECG leads, or new left branch bundle block pattern

- Repeat revascularisation
  - Revascularisation was defined as any further intervention required on the infarct related vessel due to restenosis or thrombosis during the period of follow up, either percutaneous or surgical.
VARIABLE COLLECTED FOR EACH SUBJECT

Baseline characteristics

- Age (years)
- Sex (male/female)
- Weight (kilograms)
- Height (metres)
- Smoker (current/ex/never) and pack years
- Performance Status (WHO score 0-5) (Table A)
- Family history of ischaemic heart disease
- Past medical history
  - Diabetes mellitus (including length of diagnosis and treatment received)
    - Known diabetic complications
  - Hypertension
  - Hypercholesterolaemia
  - Ischaemic Heart Disease
    - Previous PCI / CABG
  - Heart Failure
  - Cerebrovascular Disease
  - Peripheral Vascular Disease
  - Chronic Kidney Disease (KDOQI (Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative) stage IV or V)
- Past medication history prior to admission
  - Betablockers
  - ACEi
  - ARB
  - Statin
  - Aspirin
  - Other anti-platelet agent eg clopidogrel
  - Warfarin or other anti-coagulant therapy
  - Glycaemic control
- Metformin / Sulphonylureas / Gliptins / Insulin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Explanation of activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fully active, able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or sedentary nature, e.g., light house work, office work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ambulatory and capable of all self care but unable to carry out any work activities. Up and about more than 50% of waking hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Capable of only limited self care, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Completely disabled. Cannot carry on any self care. Totally confined to bed or chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A: WHO Performance status** (adapted from Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score) [1]

**Admission and procedural characteristics**

Data recording related to the initial event and procedure were documented by the attending primary PCI team as routine care and acquired from procedural notes/nursing records during admission.

- Admission blood pressure (mmHg)
- Admission heart rate (beats per minute)
- Admission oxygen saturations (percentage)
- Inspired oxygen (FiO₂ percentage)
- Symptom to door time (minutes)
- Door to balloon time (minutes)
- Premedication (and timing)
  - Aspirin / Clopidogrel / Ticagrelor / Prasugrel / Heparin (dose)
- Blood glucose (mmol/L) from ambulance sheet or procedural report
• Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (dose and timing)
  o Intravenous / Intracoronary
• Intracoronary nitrate (dose and timing)
• Intracoronary nitroprusside (dose and timing)
• Adenosine (dose and timing)
• Atropine (dose and timing)
• Intravenous fluids (volume and timing)
• Activated clotting time(s) (seconds) (including time of result(s))
• Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump use (pre / post PCI)
• Temporary pacing wire insertion
• Thrombectomy use
• Predilation with balloon (details of size and inflation pressure)
• Stent (details of size(s), type, and inflation pressure)
• Post dilation with balloon (details of size and inflation pressure)
• Screening time (minutes)
• Radiation exposure (dose area product)

In hospital investigation results

Information related to the relevant hospital admission was acquired from patient notes and computerised hospital care records

• Fasting glucose levels (mmol/L) – in subjects with elevated admission blood glucose or known diabetes
• HbA1c (mmol/mol) – in subjects with elevated admission blood glucose or known diabetes
• Time from admission until initiation of hyperglycaemia treatment (as applicable)
• Troponin (ng/ml) (12-24 hours after onset of chest pain)
• Pro BNP(pg/ml) (within 24 hours of admission)
• CRP (mg/L) (initial result)
• Renal function (eGFR/Cr) (initial result)
• Haemoglobin (g/L) (admission result)
• Neutrophil count (x10^9/L) (initial result)
• Platelet count (x10^9/L) (initial result)
• Cholesterol (mmol/L)
• 60 minutes post procedure electrocardiogram (to determine presence of ≥70% reduction in ST elevation)
• Left ventricular impairment on echocardiography within 24 hours of admission (non/mild/moderate/severe)
• Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) on echocardiography (cm)
  o EAT is described as the echo free space between the outer myocardial wall and the visceral pericardial layer in the PLAX view. This was measured during end-systole at the midline point (perpendicular to the aortic annulus) on the free wall of the right ventricle and an average of three cycles recorded.
• Aortic valvular sclerosis presence on echocardiography required all three points –
  1. Irregular, non-uniform thickening of portions of the aortic valve leaflets or commissures, or both.
  2. Thickened portions of the valve with an appearance suggesting calcification.
  3. Non-restricted or minimally restricted opening of the aortic cusps; and peak continuous wave velocity across the valve of < 2 m/sec.

Clinical outcomes
In hospital clinical outcomes:
• Worse Killip class (defined table B)
• Red cell transfusion required (number of units)
• Cardiovascular death
• Death
• Myocardial infarction
• Cerebrovascular accident (infarct / haemorrhage)
• Repeat angiography (planned versus un-planned)
• Repeat unplanned revascularisation
Day 30 clinical outcomes:

- Death
- Heart Failure (Killip class)
- Myocardial infarction
- Cerebrovascular accident (infarct / haemorrhage)
- Repeat unplanned angiography
- Repeat unplanned revascularisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Killip Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No clinical signs of heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Rales or crackles in the lungs, a third heart sound and elevated jugular venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Frank pulmonary oedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Cardiogenic shock (systolic blood pressure &lt;90mmHg) and evidence of peripheral vasoconstriction (oliguria, cyanosis or sweating)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table B: Killip class definitions [2]**

**Coronary angiography characteristics**

The angiographic procedure was analysed in detail. PPCI operators were instructed to acquire images of the non-culprit vessel first and perform initial/final runs of long enough duration for venous filling to be evident. Following re-establishment of flow, a single lesion defining image was required. Final images were mandated at 30 frames/second in prespecified views (left coronary system: left lateral view; right coronary artery: right anterior oblique [3]) for assessment of myocardial blush grade. If at the end of the procedure TIMI flow < III at least ten minutes delay, following appropriate clinician led therapy administration, was requested before acquiring the final images.

**Angiography review:**

- Initial TIMI flow (0-III) (see table C for definitions)
- Evidence of intra/post procedural no reflow phenomenon (see earlier definition)
- End procedure TIMI flow (0-III)
- End procedure myocardial blush grade (0-III) (see table C for definitions)
- Thrombus classification (0-5) (Table D)
- Culprit vessel (LAD/RCA/LCx/other)
- Multi-vessel flow limiting disease (number of vessels diseased (LAD, RCA, LCx, LMS or side branch diameter >2mm)
- Lesion characteristics
  - Classification (American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association type A/B1/B2/C) (Table E)
  - Ostial
  - Bifurcation
  - Collateralisation (Rentrop Score 0-III) (Table F)
  - Reference vessel diameter mm (Quantitative Coronary Angiographic System (QCA))
  - Lesion severity % (QCA system if patency or 100% in occluded)
  - Lesion length mm following re-established flow (QCA system)
  - Post PCI minimal luminal diameter (QCA system)

QCA calibration was performed using the guiding catheter, with measurement of the reference diameter performed close to the lesion. Preference was placed on proximal measurement, if ostial or at site of bifurcation distal measurement was accepted. Maximal stenosis if vessel was occluded was documented as 100%, if not a minimal luminal area percentage was recorded. Lesion length was recorded in millimetres following re-establishment of flow. The post PCI minimal luminal diameter (mm) was recorded as the mean of two orthogonal measurements.
Table C: Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction Flow and Blush Grade definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No cine angiographic characteristics of thrombus present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Possible thrombus is present, with such angiography characteristics as reduced contrast density, haziness, irregular lesion contour, or a smooth convex “meniscus” at the site of total occlusion suggestive but not diagnostic of thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There is definite thrombus (small size), with greatest dimensions ≤ 1/2 the vessel diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>There is definite thrombus (moderate size), but with greatest linear dimension &gt; 1/2 but &lt; 2 vessel diameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>There is definite thrombus (large size), as grade 3, but with the largest diameter ≥ 2 vessel diameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Total occlusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D: Thrombus classification [4]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type A Lesions (requires all characteristics)</th>
<th>Type B Lesions (requires any characteristic)</th>
<th>Type C Lesions (requires any characteristic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discreteness (&lt;10 mm)</td>
<td>Tubular shape (10-20 mm)</td>
<td>Diffuseness (&gt;20 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentricity</td>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>Excessive tortuosity of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready accessibility</td>
<td>Accessibility influenced by moderate tortuosity of proximal segment</td>
<td>Location in an extremely angulated segment (&gt;90°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location in a non angulated segment (&lt;45°)</td>
<td>Location in a moderately angulated segment (&gt;45°, &lt;90°)</td>
<td>Total occlusion &gt;3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothness of contour</td>
<td>Irregularity of contour</td>
<td>Inability to protect major side branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little or no calcification</td>
<td>Moderate/severe calcification</td>
<td>Degeneration of older vein grafts with friable lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of total occlusion</td>
<td>Presence of thrombus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non ostial location</td>
<td>Ostial location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of major branch involvement</td>
<td>Bifurcation lesions requiring double guide wires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of thrombus</td>
<td>Total occlusions &lt;3 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E: American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Lesion Classification. [5,6]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Filling of side branches of the artery to be dilated via collateral channels without visualization of the epicardial segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partial filling of the epicardial segment via collateral channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complete filling of the epicardial segment of the artery being dilated via collateral channels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table F: Rentrop classification of collateral circulation [7]
**REPORTING GUIDELINES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title and abstract</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>(a)</em> Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(b)</em> Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methods</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present key elements of study design early in the paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>(a) Cohort study</em> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case-control study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cross sectional study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(b) Cohort study</em> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case-control study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sources/</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study size</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Explain how the study size was arrived at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative variables</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Statistical methods | 12 | *(a)* Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  
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*(c)* Explain how missing data were addressed  
*(d)* **Cohort study** - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed  
**Case-control study** - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed  
**Cross sectional study** - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  
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*(b)* Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  
*(c)* Consider use of a flow diagram |
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*(b)* Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest  
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| Outcome data     | 15*    | **Cohort study** - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time  
**Case-control study** - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure  
**Cross sectional study** - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures |
### Main results
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16. (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage

16. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram

### Other analyses

17. Report other analyses done - eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

### Discussion

**Key results**

18. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives

**Limitations**

19. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias

**Interpretation**

20. Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence

**Generalisability**

21. Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results

### Other information

**Funding**

22. Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
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**TABLE G STROBE statement: checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies [8]**
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