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ABSTRACT

Objective Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is widely used as an alternative to conventional surgical aortic valve replacement. The aim of this study was to identify preprocedural predictors of duration of length of stay (LoS) after transfemoral TAVI (TF-TAVI).

Methods We included all consecutive patients who underwent TF-TAVI at our centre between November 2010 and June 2013. Preprocedural, periprocedural and postprocedural variables were collected and evaluated to LoS. Linear regression was performed to find preprocedural predictors for total LoS.

Results The population consisted of 114 patients (mean age: 79.6±8.7, 32.5% male). The median total LoS was 6.5 days (5–9 days). Multivariate analysis showed that the Metabolic Equivalent score (METs) (β=−0.084, p=0.011) and diastolic blood pressure (β=−0.011, p=0.016) independently contributed to the log-transformed LoS.

Conclusion Multivariate linear regression showed that lower METs and lower diastolic blood pressure were associated with prolonged LoS. Understanding patients’ physical functionality can improve logistical planning of hospital stay and selecting patients eligible for early discharge.

INTRODUCTION

Aortic valve replacement is indicated in case of severe aortic stenosis (AoS) or insufficiency.1 For patients with aortic valve stenosis, but at high risk for surgical aortic valve replacement, transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is an appropriate alternative option.2 The transfemoral TAVI (TF-TAVI) approach is known to be the safest and therefore the most commonly used.3 4 Hospital length of stay (LoS) is associated with adverse events such as hospital-acquired infections and delirium.5

Postprocedural LoS may be influenced by various patient characteristics. Preprocedural risk assessment of LoS may yield several insights, such as appropriate patient information and individual organisational logistics.6 Additionally, some of the variables or patient characteristics may be optimised prior to the procedure to optimise the LoS. In this study we aim to elucidate preprocedural patient characteristics associated with LoS.

METHODS

Patient population and procedure
This is a single-centre observational study with 114 consecutive patients undergoing TF-TAVI procedure between November 2010 and June 2013. All patients with severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis were discussed by an interventional cardiologist and cardiac surgeons and agreed to undergo a TF-TAVI procedure. Five of the 114 patients were excluded due to missing data on LoS. The final analysis therefore consisted of 109 patients (mean age: 78.3±9.7 years, 32.1% male). The median total LoS was 6.5 days (5–9 days). Multivariate analysis showed that the Metabolic Equivalent score (METs) (β=−0.084, p=0.011) and diastolic blood pressure (β=−0.011, p=0.016) independently contributed to the log-transformed LoS.

Conclusion Multivariate linear regression showed that lower METs and lower diastolic blood pressure were associated with prolonged LoS. Understanding patients’ physical functionality can improve logistical planning of hospital stay and selecting patients eligible for early discharge.
Data collection and definitions

Data were collected retrospectively in a dedicated database and contained preprocedural, periprocedural and postprocedural variables. Preprocedural variables included demographic details that include medical history, symptoms, medication, blood pressure, laboratory values, ECG, transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) and CT scan.

Standard surgical risk assessment was performed using the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) score and the EuroSCORE (European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation). The Metabolic Equivalent score (METs) was measured using the Duke Activity Status Index and was used as an estimation of a patient’s functionality.

Frailty was assessed by the Canadian Study of Health and Aging Clinical Frailty Score by means of the preoperative assessment by the anaesthesiology preprocedural screening regarding (non)instrumental activities and patient-reported daily life dependency. Medication before, during and after the procedure was also captured in our database. Preprocedural anticoagulants were categorised into five groups: (1) single antiplatelet drug, (2) dual antiplatelet therapy, (3) single oral anticoagulant, (4) single oral anticoagulant plus single antiplatelet drug or (5) single oral anticoagulant plus dual antiplatelet therapy. New oral anticoagulants and low-molecular weight heparin were labelled as oral anticoagulant.

Renal function, in terms of an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), was calculated using the modification of diet in renal disease (MDRD) formula.

Preprocedural ECG assessment contained PQ time, QRS duration and QTc time.

Preprocedural TTE assessment encloses haemodynamic parameters, ejection fraction (EF%), other valve insufficiencies and systolic pulmonary artery pressure. Periprocedural variables included the duration of the procedure, selected valve size, amount of contrast media used and periprocedural success. Periprocedural success was defined as implantation of a single aortic valve in the correct position without any cardiovascular events or valve dysfunction within the first 72 hours.

Procedural time was calculated as the time between the patient’s arrival and discharge from operating room. All complications were analysed according to the Valve Academic Research Consortium (VARC) definitions. VARC two-criteria end points could not be used for this study as patient postprocedural urine output is not routinely measured, excluding the Acute Kidney Injury Network system and allowing only for the modified RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of function, and End-stage kidney disease) classification. In addition to the VARC criteria, delirium, the need for a new pacemaker implantation and infections with a need for antibiotics were included as complications.

The hospital LoS was recorded as the total number of days between the TF-TAVI and the release of the patient from our centre.

Statistical analysis

Values are reported as means±SD or median and IQR (IQR: 25th to 75th percentile) for continuous variables and as frequency with percentage for categorical variables. One-way analysis of variance and $\chi^2$ test for trends were used to compare the differences between groups of continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Group medians were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test where appropriate. LoS was divided into three categories: (1) short stay (SS-LoS, 1–5 days), (2) medium stay (MS-LoS, 6–8 days) and (3) long stay (LS-LoS, 9+ days).

Covariates of interest as predictors of LoS were investigated using multivariable linear regression. Baseline variables that were significant at $p \leq 0.10$ on univariate analysis were entered into a multivariable model. All statistical tests were two-sided, and values of $p \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V.22 for Windows (IBM Corp, New York, USA).

LoS was log-transformed to normalise the distribution prior to linear regression analysis.
Univariate analysis on preprocedural variables (table 3) showed that STS score ($\beta=0.037$, $p<0.001$), baseline diastolic blood pressure ($\beta=−0.010$, $p=0.013$), METs ($\beta=−0.086$, $p=0.003$) and atrial fibrillation ($\beta=0.277$, $p=0.041$) are associated with LoS.

ECG characteristics such as QRS duration, QT time and conduction disorders (left bundle branch block (LBBB), right bundle branch block (RBBB), intraventricular conduction disorders (IVCD), atrioventricular block (AVB)) did not show a significant association with LoS.

Periprocedural variables

As expected, complications were related to a longer LoS. Periprocedural complications are shown in table 4. The median LoS is described for the various complications.

The difference between removing and remaining the temporary external pacemaker wire before...
leaving the cathlab did not differ significantly between the LoS groups (p=0.419). The implantation of a permanent pacemaker did not lead to a longer median LoS.

Outcomes in terms of mortality are described in Table 5.

**DISCUSSION**

In this retrospective study we found two predictors of post-TF-TAVI LoS. In a multivariate model, only diastolic blood pressure (negative association) and METs remained significant.
Figure 2  Figures showing the significant correlations between increased length of stay and increased STS scores, increased EuroSCORE, higher METs and pre-existent AF. AF, atrial fibrillation; EuroSCORE, European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation; METs, Metabolic Equivalent score; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

Table 3  Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses: variables of table 2 showing a significance of p<0.10 were taken in the multivariate model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroSCORE 1 logistic</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METs</td>
<td>−0.086</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−0.084</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.011</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral regurgitation (II–IV)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal right iliac diameter</td>
<td>−0.109</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>−0.111</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (MDRD) (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of stay is log-transformed to normalise the distribution.
eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; EuroSCORE, European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; METs, metabolic equivalent score; NT-proBNP, N-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons.
Table 4  Postprocedural complications and their correlation with the total length of stay in days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No of patients (N=114), n (%)</th>
<th>Median days (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>6.5 (5–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No complications</td>
<td>52 (45.6)</td>
<td>6 (4–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary pacemaker wire not removed during procedure</td>
<td>56 (49.1)</td>
<td>6.5 (5–11.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periprocedural myocardial infarction</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>13.50 (5–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor stroke</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>6.50 (5–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major stroke</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-threatening bleeding</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>17 (6–28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor bleeding</td>
<td>22 (19.3)</td>
<td>6 (4.5–11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKI stage I</td>
<td>11 (9.6)</td>
<td>12 (7–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKI stage II</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>13.50 (5–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKI stage III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major vascular complications</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor vascular complications</td>
<td>20 (17.5)</td>
<td>7 (5–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-related infection</td>
<td>9 (7.8)</td>
<td>8 (6.5–17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker implantation</td>
<td>5 (4.4)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.5–46.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium</td>
<td>5 (4.4)</td>
<td>7 (4–25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AKI, acute kidney injury; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; VARC, Valve Academic Research Consortium.

Risk scores

In agreement with O’Brien et al and Toumpoulis et al, an increase in STS-PROM score and EuroSCORE, respectively, was significantly associated with LoS. For the TAVI population the EuroSCORE is mainly used to predict operative mortality, however the Toumpoulis et al study also showed in a total cohort of 5051 cardiac surgery patients (of which 285 were aortic valve surgery patients) the correlation between the EuroSCORE and an LoS of ≥12 days. In our cohort we did not find a significant relation between EuroSCORE and LoS, whereas STS score was significantly different. However, there was a trend of higher risk scores in the longer LoS groups.

Both the STS score and EuroSCORE are primarily made up of components that cannot be altered or optimised prior to the procedure, therefore acting solely as predictor, and not as an opportunity to decrease the LoS.

As the STS-PROM showed a greater significance with LoS, it would be advisable to use this score when looking to predict LoS. In a study by Arangalage et al EuroSCORE II was shown to be more similar to the STS-PROM score than the original EuroSCORE.

Frailty

The significance between METs and the trend with the estimated Clinical Frailty Score show that patients’ disabilities, physical functioning and social network play a role in LoS. The estimated Clinical Frailty Score puts its emphasis more on being able to independently complete activities of daily living (ADL) or instrumental ADL, whereas METs looks specifically at the amount of energy used to complete these tasks. The Duke activity status index, which was used to estimate the METs, does reflect the level of physical functioning. Both these scores, however, are subjectively determined and therefore only serve as an indication for patients’ capacities. If medical urgency allows, actions to possibly improve METs or Clinical Frailty Score, such as improving strength and balance using physical therapy, could be offered prior to the procedure if patients’ symptoms allow it. A parallel path would be to look into making alterations at home to improve possibilities of mobility and functionality at home, thereby making it possible for patients to further recover at home, rather than in the hospital. If in further research these variables are proven to shorten LoS, a physiotherapist or specialised nurse may be indicated as an important addition to the heart team discussions and planning for patients. As this would still be a subjective approach to predicting LoS, an altered validated frailty risk score should be developed to objectify the frailty and risk of patients prior to the procedure. As the STS score only looks at the chronological age of patients, an incorporation of the biological age might be beneficial.

Age itself was not a predictor for LoS in the linear regression model. This might be that calendar age itself does not reflect the level of independence and level of physical activity, which is reflected by METs.

Kidney function

The influence of the kidney function on LoS has also been made apparent by means of eGFR. Furthermore creatine levels show a trend with LoS.

As kidney function seems to be a key predictor, it may be advisable to standardly use the newer CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration) formula instead of the MDRD in the everyday clinical setting. This formula uses the same four variables as the MDRD formula (creatinine level, age, gender and race) but uses a formula that has been shown to be more accurate in estimating the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), especially at higher GFRs.
A common aetiology of a decreased kidney function is likely to be multifactorial with the populations’ increased biological age, atherosclerosis, hypertension and medication usage. In this study the age of patients showed a trend with a higher LoS, which corresponds with many other studies, such as by Malaisrie et al.20

Medication
Preprocedural anticoagulant use was stopped prior to TAVI except for acetylsalicylic acid and/or P2Y12 inhibitors. The categorised combinations of preprocedural anticoagulant medication were significant with the total LoS; however, it was not significant with any individual complications that would be suspected from anticoagulant use.

Chronic diuretic use was the only preprocedural medication that had a trend with LoS.

Cardiac factors
Extra emphasis on the management of AF pharmaceutically prior to the procedure may be an option to decrease LoS, ss 25 patients with AF were found to be using beta-blockers, despite its relative contraindication in significant AoS. Further research is needed to improve the available treatment for AF and to test AF as a risk factor.

The development of new conduction disorders or the need for a permanent pacemaker implantation was not associated with LoS, which is surprising, but might be explained by the low number of events (n=5/114).

As many patients suffer from the combination of aortic stenosis and regurgitation, it is difficult to isolate the cause for the significance of the diastolic blood pressure and the trend with patients also suffering from mitral regurgitation. Diastolic blood pressure was negatively associated with LoS and can be associated with an increase in stiffness of the arterial wall in older patients with atherosclerosis or chronic kidney disease. The pathophysiology in relation to the LoS is interesting and could be part of future research.

The measurements taken from CT angiography (minimal diameters of the left and right iliac arteries) may allow for a reconsideration of equipment used during the procedure. The current generation of Edwards SAPIEN 3 valves can be placed using a 14 Fr catheter, which already has been shown to reduce complications.21 Using angiography may give a more precise diameter of the annulus and the iliac arteries, and could be considered to improve accuracy.22

Complications
As predicted, many of the complications correlate significantly with LoS, especially the major complications. The need for transfusions was associated with longer LoS and may reflect a weaker patient status. The influence of the acute kidney injury (stage 1) on LoS shows again the importance of managing kidney function.

In our population, most of the infections were urinary tract infections occurring within 3 days of the procedure; however, there were two cases of infections that occurred after 11 and 29 days. These may have been prevented by an earlier discharge from the hospital.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Despite the relatively small sample size of this study, it provides insight in the first years of TAVI on a larger scale, allowing future comparisons. Second, to provide optimal care for patients, it is important to find a balance between early and delayed discharge. To better understand the consequences of early discharge, it is important to also take into account rehospitalisation and care provided by (informal) caregivers. A third limitation is the inclusion of Edwards SAPIEN XT valves only. We recommend to include in subsequent studies newer valve types of various manufacturers.

Preprocedural aortic and mitral insufficiencies were not identically assessed excluding the parameters from this study.

CONCLUSIONS
Prolonged LoS was associated with higher values of STS-PROM and EuroSCOR I. Even so for lower values of eGFR, METs, CT-based right femoral diameter and diastolic blood pressure. Finally, the presence of pre-existing AF was associated with prolonged LoS as shown by univariate analysis. After multivariate linear regression METs was the most important variable that remained. METs (estimated with the Duke activity status index) is an easy-to-measure variable in daily clinical practice. Understanding patients’ physical functionality can improve logistical planning of hospital stay and selecting patients eligible for early discharge.
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