

# openheart Added sugars drive nutrient and energy deficit in obesity: a new paradigm

James J DiNicolantonio,<sup>1</sup> Amy Berger<sup>2</sup>

**To cite:** DiNicolantonio JJ, Berger A. Added sugars drive nutrient and energy deficit in obesity: a new paradigm. *Open Heart* 2016;**3**:e000469. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2016-000469

Received 8 May 2016  
Accepted 7 July 2016

## ABSTRACT

Obesity has traditionally been thought of as a state of caloric imbalance, where the intake of calories exceeds the expenditure or ‘burning’ of calories. However, a more nuanced appreciation for the complex biochemistry and physiology of cellular energy generation suggests that obesity is a state of hormonal imbalance causing increased shunting of food energy into adipose tissue for storage, resulting in decreased satiety and ultimately leading to increased caloric intake. Adding to this hypothesis, we propose that obesity is also a state of nutrient and energy deficit, leading to decreased fatty acid mobilisation and oxidation, the result of which may be a natural disinclination towards physical activity. Added sugars (sucrose, a.k.a. table sugar and high-fructose corn syrup) may provide energy (4 kcal/g) but at current intakes they do not facilitate—and may even hinder—the production of energy. Not only do added sugars displace nutritionally superior foods in the diet, but they may also deplete nutrients from other foods that have been consumed, as well as from body stores, in order to enable their proper oxidation and liberate their calories as energy. Additionally, the consumption of added sugars damages the mitochondria and hence impairs energy generation. Moreover, overconsuming added sugars may result in a kind of ‘internal starvation’ (via leptin and insulin resistance) leading to further hunger signals in the body. Added sugars promote nutrient and energy deficit and through this novel pathway promote obesity.

## INTRODUCTION

### Nutrient/energy deficit in obesity

Sugar was first extracted from sugar cane and sugar beets hundreds of years ago, and later ‘purified’ into a white crystalline form. In modern times, sugar has been isolated and refined to a degree that allows it to be integrated into the food supply in quantities and concentrations that do not occur naturally and are unlikely to have been encountered in human evolutionary history. Pure crystalline sugar, high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS) and other caloric sweeteners consumed in beverages and processed foods provide a supra-physiological glycaemic load,<sup>1</sup> overwhelming the body’s processing capacity and leading to detrimental metabolic effects (eg, hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and oxidative

stress).<sup>1</sup> Because of this refinement, added sugars now behave like drug-like substances.<sup>2 3</sup> Although edible, added sugars cannot be considered a ‘food’, nor can their consumption be equated to eating foods that contain natural amounts of sugar, but which also provide fibre, vitamins, minerals and other phytonutrients that combat oxidative stress produced by the small amounts of fructose present. As the ‘dose makes the poison’, the food industry has made it possible for consumers to easily ‘overdose’ on added sugars, making this an issue of concern for public health worldwide, wherever there is significant consumption of processed, highly refined and sugar-dense foods.

### Added sugars are not food

Sugar is not among the recommended foods. Its recent rationing will not provoke a hardship, for sugar supplies nothing in nutrition but calories, and *the vitamins provided by other foods are sapped by sugar to liberate these calories.*<sup>4</sup> (Emphasis added) (Wilder—*Handbook of Nutrition*)

The definition of food is, ‘Material that contains essential nutrients, which are assimilated by an organism to produce energy, stimulate growth and maintain life.’<sup>5</sup> Many types of added sugars do not fit this definition. While caloric sweeteners such as honey, maple syrup, molasses and sorghum syrup (also known as ‘free sugars’) may provide trace amounts of micronutrients, the sweetening agents most commonly added to processed foods—sucrose and HFCS—do not. In fact, these added sugars not only lack essential nutrients, but they also have detrimental effects on all three important functions of food (eg, produce energy, stimulate growth and maintain life). These two added sugars (sucrose and HFCS) will be the disaccharides of focus in this review.

### Added sugars do not produce energy or stimulate growth

Starting with the first necessary function of a food—‘produce energy’—added sugars in



<sup>1</sup>Saint Luke’s Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, Missouri, USA

<sup>2</sup>Independent researcher

**Correspondence to**  
Dr James J DiNicolantonio;  
jjdinicol@gmail.com  
twitter @drjamesdinic

fact deplete energy from the body, either by depleting tissue nutrient stores or nutrients obtained from other ingested foods.<sup>4</sup> Added sugars also displace nutritionally superior foods from the diet and at the same time increase nutritional requirements.<sup>4 6</sup> Specifically, vitamins such as thiamine, riboflavin and niacin are necessary for the oxidation of glucose, and phosphates are stripped from ATP in order to metabolise fructose, which leads to cellular ATP depletion.<sup>4 7</sup> The metabolism of fructose also leads to oxidative stress, inflammation and damage to the mitochondria, causing a state of ATP depletion.<sup>8</sup> Hence, the liberation of calories from added sugars requires nutrients and increases nutritional demands, but these sugars provide no additional nutrients. Thus, the more added sugars one consumes, the more nutritionally depleted one may become. This may be particularly extreme in individuals whose habitual diet is already insufficient in key micronutrients.

The conversion of food calories into energy in the form of ATP does not occur by chance, with no requirements for input into the biochemical pathways involved. Via glycolysis and the citric acid cycle, carbohydrates—including refined sugars—are converted into energy. The reactions these processes comprise are dependent on required cofactors in the form of vitamins and minerals; however, isolated and refined sugars have been stripped of their micronutrient content, which may impair their conversion into energy and/or result in a net nutrient deficit if such nutrients must be siphoned from body stores in order to keep these processes running efficiently. Contrast this with foods consumed in their whole, unrefined forms, which typically contain at least some of the nutrients required to liberate their energy.

The popularity of low-carbohydrate diets for fat loss, as well as their efficacy for improving a broad range of health conditions,<sup>9–12</sup> has led to an unfortunate across-the-board demonisation of carbohydrates among some nutrition and healthcare professionals. While individuals who are insulin resistant or have type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetes or the metabolic syndrome (which, according to the American Diabetes Association, is well over 100 million people in the USA alone, including as many as 26% of individuals aged 65 or older<sup>13</sup>) may manage their blood glucose and insulin levels best on reduced carbohydrate diets,<sup>10 11 14</sup> it is not carbohydrate-dense foods, per se, that contribute to impaired energy production. Rather, it is carbohydrate foods that have been stripped of their natural complement of nutrients and fibre and extracted from their whole-food matrices that may be placing the largest metabolic burden on the body, particularly when they contain additional carbohydrates in the form of added sugars, such as may be found in soft drinks and cookies, crackers, bread, breakfast cereal, granola bars, etc, made from refined grains with additional sugars and sweeteners added as preservatives and for enhanced palatability.

Glycolysis—the conversion of glucose to pyruvate with a net yield of 2 ATP—requires magnesium as an enzyme cofactor in at least six individual reactions. The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, which converts pyruvate to acetyl-coenzyme A and is the link between glycolysis and the citric acid cycle, requires thiamine, riboflavin, niacin and pantothenic acid for proper enzymatic function. B-vitamins are required again for effective functioning of the citric acid cycle, which will produce an additional 36 ATP, and is the process by which the majority of energy is generated in all cells except those lacking mitochondria. Unlike isolated refined sugars, carbohydrate-rich whole foods—such as sweet potatoes, white potatoes, beets, parsnips and winter squashes, as well as whole grains, such as hard red winter wheat and millet—provide critical minerals and B-vitamins required for the proper use of these foods in the body. Added sugars provide fuel in the form of carbohydrate but lack the accessory nutrients needed to convert that fuel into energy. We are not suggesting that each food consumed must, in isolation, contain the full complement of nutrients required for its metabolism and oxidation; a varied omnivorous diet would be expected to meet these basic nutritional needs. However, consumption of large amounts of added sugars may displace nutritionally superior foods in the diet, ultimately resulting in a net deficit of vitamin and mineral enzyme cofactors required for not only the oxidation of the glucose, itself, but for that of whole foods.

Additionally, fructose consumed at current levels found in much of the industrialised world has been found to deplete cellular ATP levels in vascular endothelial cells,<sup>15</sup> with as little as 50 g of fructose (found in approximately one 24 oz soft drink) being able to deplete hepatic ATP levels in humans.<sup>16 17</sup> This results in appetite stimulation and increased hunger signals, prompting increased caloric intake, which may ultimately lead to weight gain and obesity.<sup>8</sup> As the average American consumes 83.1 g of fructose per day,<sup>18</sup> with up to 20% of the population exceeding 100 g/day,<sup>15</sup> current levels of fructose consumption may lead to chronic energy depletion causing increased hunger and lack of energy to exercise. Thus, increased caloric intake and reduced physical activity are logical consequences of overconsuming added sugars. However, while the end result may be obesity in susceptible individuals who are simply following the biological cues prompted by these hijacked metabolic processes, increased caloric intake and a reduction in physical activity (ie, eating more and moving less) are merely the proximal causes of body fat gain; consumption of large amounts of refined sugars is the ultimate underlying cause, triggering the cascade as a whole.<sup>19</sup> As many soft drinks are produced with HFCS that contain up to 65% fructose, this is particularly concerning.<sup>20–22</sup> The overconsumption of added sugars does not produce energy despite providing energy, which is somewhat of a paradox. In order for a substance to be classified as ‘food’, it must produce or at

least facilitate the production of energy, not just provide energy.

The consumption of sugar and of other relatively pure carbohydrates has become so great during recent years that it presents a serious obstacle to the improved nutrition of the general public.<sup>4</sup> (The Council on Foods and Nutrition, 1942)

Written over 70 years ago, this observation may be even more relevant today. Indeed, many of the concerns about the detrimental effects of sugar stem from its current excessive consumption worldwide. Recent estimates indicate that the average annual per capita consumption of sugar ranges from 77 to 152 pounds,<sup>23 24</sup> which is ~20-fold to 40-fold greater than estimates of consumption just a few hundred years ago.<sup>25</sup> This level of consumption equates to around 400–800 calories per person per day from added sugars. On a diet of 2000 calories per day, 800 calories from added sugars represents a dilution of 40%, or a 40% displacement of foods with higher nutrient density by foods that not only provide fewer nutrients, but which may, in fact, require increased nutrient intake simply to be metabolised. It is easy to understand how consuming added sugars leads to nutritional deficit.<sup>4 6</sup>

Soft drink consumption is associated with lower intakes of calcium and other nutrients,<sup>26</sup> which may lead to malnutrition, especially in children.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, the overconsumption of added sugars is linked to the development of insulin resistance in humans and animals,<sup>27–30</sup> which decreases the body's ability to use glucose as energy. Moreover, the ensuing rise in insulin levels decreases the body's ability to use its other key fuel source, fats. (Via inhibition of hormone-sensitive lipase, chronically elevated insulin keeps fatty acids sequestered in adipose tissue, reducing their availability for  $\beta$ -oxidation and the generation of ATP.<sup>31 32</sup>) In essence, cells are starved for energy because energy generation from fatty acids is inhibited when insulin levels are high, resulting in what has been referred to as 'internal starvation' or 'hidden cellular semistarvation'.<sup>33</sup> More colloquially, it can be thought of as 'starvation in the land of plenty'. Not only that, but elevated insulin levels increase energy requirements,<sup>31</sup> further leading to a net energy loss with the consumption of added sugars. Owing to the lack of access to fuel substrates, individuals with obesity and hyperinsulinaemia/insulin resistance may fit the description of 'overfed but undernourished'. While they are carrying thousands of calories of stored fuel in the form of adipose tissue, the hormonal pattern that they are locked into due to the overconsumption of refined sugars suggests that, at the cellular level, they are starving.

Sugar also has the ability to derail an appetite for nutrient-dense foods, causing further nutrient depletion.<sup>2</sup> This is likely caused by the elevation in insulin levels prompted by refined carbohydrates, which may

lead to cravings for yet more carbohydrate due to insulin's effect on fuel partitioning and its inhibition of energy generation from fatty acids.<sup>31</sup> Animal studies also show that feeding sugar to rats hinders growth and shortens lifespan.<sup>18 34</sup> Several other experiments in a variety of animal species show that the addition of sugar to otherwise adequate diets causes these animals to malnourish themselves to death.<sup>35</sup> And numerous studies in animals indicate that replacing starch with sugar shortens their lifespan, so again, it is not carbohydrate, per se, that has a detrimental effect, but rather, refined and nutritionally void sugars.<sup>36–38</sup> The aforementioned data do not support the definition of food.

Finally, fructose and glucose favour the growth of bacteria and yeast,<sup>2 39–41</sup> particularly in those who already have elevated levels of yeast.<sup>42</sup> Glucose has been found to increase the proliferation and virulence of *Candida albicans*.<sup>40 43</sup> As *C. albicans* competes with host cells for nutrients<sup>44</sup> and requires a high influx of glucose (yielding just two molecules of ATP for each molecule of glucose metabolised via fermentation),<sup>45</sup> this may be of particular concern with added sugars feeding increasing populations of intestinal yeast that then siphon nutrients and energy from the rest of the body. Sugar has also been shown to irritate the linings of the stomach and intestine,<sup>2 25</sup> which may compromise digestive function and the absorption of nutrients. Finally, the hyperosmolar effect of fructose can induce diarrhoea,<sup>46</sup> which may lead to a further loss of nutrients.<sup>2</sup> **Box 1** provides an overview of the mechanisms relating the consumption of added sugars with overall nutrient and energy depletion, making added sugars unfit to be considered food.

### Box 1 How added sugars promote obesity through energy/nutrient depletion

- ▶ Displaces nutritionally superior foods.<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ Decreases appetite for more nutritious food.<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ Depletes nutrients within the body (in order to liberate the calories from sugar, as well as from an increase in bacterial and yeast overgrowth).<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ Provides zero nutrition.<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ The consumption of added sugars has been shown to cause insulin resistance.<sup>27–30</sup> This will result in decreased use of glucose for energy (decreased uptake into cells),<sup>47</sup> as well as impaired oxidation of fatty acids for energy.<sup>31 32</sup> Energy requirements are also increased due to elevated insulin levels.<sup>33</sup> Thus, because the consumption of added sugars can lead to insulin resistance, they can induce a state of 'internal starvation', also referred to as 'hidden cellular semistarvation'.<sup>33</sup>
- ▶ Decreases nutrient absorption due to intestinal irritation/damage.<sup>2 25</sup>
- ▶ Increases nutrient excretion caused by fructose malabsorption leading to diarrhoea.<sup>46</sup>
- ▶ Damages the mitochondria and depletes ATP.<sup>8</sup>
- ▶ Produces unnatural drug-like cravings leading to a vicious cycle of continued consumption and further nutrient depletion.

## Added sugars do not maintain life

Mankind has survived without isolated, refined sugar for almost 2.6 million years.<sup>48</sup> The body—in particular, the brain—has been thought to require upwards of 200 g of glucose per day, leading to the often cited dogma that glucose is ‘essential for life’.<sup>1</sup> While it is true that glucose is essential for sustaining life, there is no requirement for dietary glucose, as fatty acids can be turned into brain-fuelling ketone bodies, and amino acids and glycerol are gluconeogenic substrates.<sup>49</sup> Indeed, in the relative absence of dietary glucose, ketone bodies may supply upwards of 75% of the brain’s required energy, with the remainder supplied by gluconeogenesis provided by amino acids (from dietary protein or catabolism of body proteins) and from glycerol (provided by the breakdown of triglycerides in adipose tissue).<sup>33</sup> Thus, exogenous glucose (eg, from added sugars) is not essential for sustaining life in humans, and in most people, restricting dietary carbohydrates seems to produce no ill effects.<sup>49</sup> In fact, according to the Food and Nutrition Board of the Institute of Medicine of the US National Academies of Sciences, ‘The lower limit of dietary carbohydrate compatible with life apparently is zero, provided that adequate amounts of protein and fat are consumed’.<sup>50</sup>

Administration of fructose or sucrose in humans has been shown to cause each of the abnormalities that define the metabolic syndrome (eg, elevated triglycerides, low high-density lipoprotein, insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, elevated blood glucose, elevated blood pressure and weight gain (specifically around the abdomen)),<sup>30 51–55</sup> as well as features found in patients with coronary heart disease (eg, increased platelet adhesiveness and hyperinsulinaemia),<sup>56 57</sup> all of which can be reversed entirely upon reverting to a diet low in sugar.<sup>47 52 56 58–60</sup> Consumption of added sugars at current levels of intake is proposed as a contributing factor in a multitude of other diseases associated with early mortality, such as cardiometabolic disease,<sup>61–64</sup> obesity,<sup>30 61 65–68</sup>  $\beta$ -cell dysfunction and type 2 diabetes,<sup>6 20 69–71</sup> hypertension,<sup>51 64 72</sup> non-alcoholic fatty liver<sup>7</sup> and atherosclerosis.<sup>6 73 74</sup> Because of this, added sugars cannot be considered food.

## Moving forward

As ~75% of all packaged foods and beverages in the USA contain added sugars,<sup>75</sup> efforts should focus on their reduction in order to combat numerous chronic disease states and improve the general nutritional status of the population as a whole. We are not here advocating for the total elimination of added sugars from the food supply. Such an approach is not only unnecessary, but is also economically unrealistic and culturally insensitive, as there are special events and celebrations that may call for traditional foods that contain large amounts of added sugars. Rather than complete removal of added sugars from one’s diet, these metabolically taxing elements should be limited to occasional

consumption and not be considered daily dietary staples.

There are myriad ways to accomplish a population-wide reduction in added sugars, including but not limited to: widespread public education campaigns; revision of food labels to call attention to added sugar; increased nutrition education in medical schools and for other primary healthcare providers; the taxing of edible goods high in added sugar;<sup>76–80</sup> prohibition of government subsidies for foods high in added sugars;<sup>81</sup> restricting the sale of beverages and edible goods high in added sugars (such as sports drinks, energy drinks and sodas) in schools and hospitals; and adding warning labels to sugar-sweetened beverages and other high-sugar products, similar to those applied to alcohol and tobacco.<sup>81</sup> Such changes could contribute substantially to reducing population-wide intake of added sugars to a reasonable 5–10% of total caloric intake, which would likely lead to substantial improvement in public health.<sup>82</sup>

## CONCLUSION

We propose that obesity is a state of nutrient and energy deficit brought about, in part, by the overconsumption of added sugars (specifically high-fructose corn syrup and sucrose). Added sugars provide energy (calories), but in the context of consumption at current intake levels, they hinder the production of energy, and through the direct influence on a wide array of cardio-metabolic disease processes, they lead to reduced quality of life and decreased lifespan, and thus cannot be considered food. As added sugars devoid of micronutrients displace more nutrient-dense foods in the diet, dilute nutrients from the body’s stores and promote a host of disease states that impair nutrient absorption and energy homeostasis, efforts should be made to reduce their ubiquity and current levels of consumption.

**Competing interests** AB has written a book about nutrition and health.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## REFERENCES

1. Ludwig DS. The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* 2002;287:2414–23.
2. Snow HL. Refined sugar: its use and misuse. *The Improvement Era Magazine* 1948;51.
3. DiNicolantonio JJ, Lucan SC. Sugar season. It’s everywhere and addictive. *The New York Times*. 12 Dec 2014.
4. Moose RM. Sugar a “diluting agent”. *JAMA* 1944;125:738–9.
5. <http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/food>
6. Paton JH. Relation of excessive carbohydrate ingestion to catarrhs and other diseases. *BMJ* 1933;1:738–40.
7. Bray GA. Energy and fructose from beverages sweetened with sugar or high-fructose corn syrup pose a health risk for some people. *Adv Nutr* 2013;4:220–5.

8. Johnson RJ. *The fat switch*. Mercola.com. 2012.
9. Feinman RD, Volek JS. Low carbohydrate diets improve atherogenic dyslipidemia even in the absence of weight loss. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* 2006;3:24.
10. Feinman RD, Pogozelski WK, Astrup A, *et al*. Dietary carbohydrate restriction as the first approach in diabetes management: critical review and evidence base. *Nutrition* 2015;31:1–13.
11. Feinman RD, Volek JS. Carbohydrate restriction as the default treatment for type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome. *Scand Cardiovasc J* 2008;42:256–63.
12. Paoli A, Rubini A, Volek JS, *et al*. Beyond weight loss: a review of the therapeutic uses of very-low-carbohydrate (ketogenic) diets. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013;67:789–96.
13. <http://www.diabetes.org/diabetes-basics/statistics/>
14. Volek JS, Feinman RD. Carbohydrate restriction improves the features of Metabolic Syndrome. Metabolic Syndrome may be defined by the response to carbohydrate restriction. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* 2005;2:31.
15. Glushakova O, Kosugi T, Roncal C, *et al*. Fructose induces the inflammatory molecule ICAM-1 in endothelial cells. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;19:1712–20.
16. Bode JC, Zelder O, Rumpelt HJ, *et al*. Depletion of liver adenosine phosphates and metabolic effects of intravenous infusion of fructose or sorbitol in man and in the rat. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1973;3:436–41.
17. Nair S, P Chacko V, Arnold C, *et al*. Hepatic ATP reserve and efficiency of replenishing: comparison between obese and nonobese normal individuals. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:466–70.
18. Marriott BP, Olsho L, Hadden L, *et al*. Intake of added sugars and selected nutrients in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2006. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2010;50:228–58.
19. Lucan SC, DiNicolantonio JJ. How calorie-focused thinking about obesity and related diseases may mislead and harm public health. An alternative. *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18:571–81.
20. Goran MI, Ulijaszek SJ, Ventura EE. High fructose corn syrup and diabetes prevalence: a global perspective. *Global Public Health* 2013;8:55–64.
21. Ventura EE, Davis JN, Goran MI. Sugar content of popular sweetened beverages based on objective laboratory analysis: focus on fructose content. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2011;19:868–74.
22. Walker RW DK, Goran MI. Fructose content in popular beverages made with and without high fructose corn syrup. *Nutrition* 2014;30:928–35.
23. Cordain L, Eades MR, Eades MD. Hyperinsulinemic diseases of civilization: more than just Syndrome X. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol* 2003;136:95–112.
24. Strom S. U.S. cuts estimate of sugar intake. *The New York Times*. 26 Oct 2012.
25. Yudkin J. *Pure, white and deadly*. Penguin Books, 2012.
26. Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:667–75.
27. Beck-Nielsen H, Pedersen O, Lindskov HO. Impaired cellular insulin binding and insulin sensitivity induced by high-fructose feeding in normal subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1980;33:273–8.
28. Pagliassotti MJ, Shahrokhi KA, Moscarello M. Involvement of liver and skeletal muscle in sucrose-induced insulin resistance: dose-response studies. *Am J Physiol* 1994;266(Pt 2):R1637–44.
29. Gutman RA, Basilico MZ, Bernal CA, *et al*. Long-term hypertriglyceridemia and glucose intolerance in rats fed chronically an isocaloric sucrose-rich diet. *Metab Clin Exp* 1987;36:1013–20.
30. Stanhope KL, Schwarz JM, Keim NL, *et al*. Consuming fructose-sweetened, not glucose-sweetened, beverages increases visceral adiposity and lipids and decreases insulin sensitivity in overweight/obese humans. *J Clin Invest* 2009;119:1322–34.
31. Taubes G. *Why we get fat and what to do about it*. Anchor Books, 2011.
32. Nguyen TQ, Maalouf NM, Sakhaee K, *et al*. Comparison of insulin action on glucose versus potassium uptake in humans. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011;6:1533–9.
33. Taubes G. *Good calories, bad calories*. New York City: Knopf, 2007.
34. Cori CF, Cori GT. Carbohydrate metabolism. *Annu Rev Biochem* 1946;15:193–218.
35. Ahrens RA. Sucrose, hypertension, and heart disease an historical perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1974;27:403–22.
36. Macdonald I, Thomas GA. Studies on the genesis of experimental diffuse hepatic fibrosis. *Clin Sci* 1956;15:373–87.
37. Durand AM, Fisher M, Adams M. The influence of type of dietary carbohydrate. Effect on histological findings in two strains of rats. *Arch Pathol* 1968;85:318–24.
38. Dalderup LM, Visser W. Influence of extra sucrose in the daily food on the life-span of Wistar albino rats. *Nature* 1969;222:1050–2.
39. Vidotto V, Sinicco A, Accattatis G, *et al*. Influence of fructose on *Candida albicans* germ tube production. *Mycopathologia* 1996;135:85–8.
40. Buu LM, Chen YC. Impact of glucose levels on expression of hypha-associated secreted aspartyl proteinases in *Candida albicans*. *J Biomed Sci* 2014;21:22.
41. Vargas SL, Patrick CC, Ayers GD, *et al*. Modulating effect of dietary carbohydrate supplementation on *Candida albicans* colonization and invasion in a neutropenic mouse model. *Infect Immun* 1993;61:619–26.
42. Weig M, Werner E, Frosch M, *et al*. Limited effect of refined carbohydrate dietary supplementation on colonization of the gastrointestinal tract of healthy subjects by *Candida albicans*. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;69:1170–3.
43. Rodaki A, Bohovych IM, Enjalbert B, *et al*. Glucose promotes stress resistance in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Mol Biol Cell* 2009;20:4845–55.
44. Bäckhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, *et al*. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. *Science* 2005;307:1915–20.
45. Brown V, Sexton JA, Johnston M. A glucose sensor in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryotic Cell* 2006;5:1726–37.
46. Rao SS, Attaluri A, Anderson L, *et al*. Ability of the normal human small intestine to absorb fructose: evaluation by breath testing. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:959–63.
47. Reiser S, Bohn E, Hallfrisch J, *et al*. Serum insulin and glucose in hyperinsulinemic subjects fed three different levels of sucrose. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981;34:2348–58.
48. O'Keefe JH Jr, Cordain L. Cardiovascular disease resulting from a diet and lifestyle at odds with our Paleolithic genome: how to become a 21st-century hunter-gatherer. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2004;79:101–8.
49. Westman EC. Is dietary carbohydrate essential for human nutrition? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75:951–3; author reply 953–4.
50. <http://www.nap.edu/read/10490/chapter/8—275>
51. Te Morenga LA, Howatson AJ, Jones RM, *et al*. Dietary sugars and cardiometabolic risk: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of the effects on blood pressure and lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100:65–79.
52. Reiser S, Handler HB, Gardner LB, *et al*. Isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose in humans. II. Effect on fasting blood insulin, glucose, and glucagon and on insulin and glucose response to a sucrose load. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;32:2206–16.
53. Reiser S, Michaelis OE IV, Cataland S, *et al*. Effect of isocaloric exchange of dietary starch and sucrose in humans on the gastric inhibitory polypeptide response to a sucrose load. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1980;33:1907–11.
54. Hallfrisch J, Ellwood KC, Michaelis OE IV, *et al*. Effects of dietary fructose on plasma glucose and hormone responses in normal and hyperinsulinemic men. *J Nutr* 1983;113:1819–26.
55. Perez-Pozo SE, Schold J, Nakagawa T, *et al*. Excessive fructose intake induces the features of metabolic syndrome in healthy adult men: role of uric acid in the hypertensive response. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010;34:454–61.
56. Szanto S, Yudkin J. Plasma lipids, glucose tolerance, insulin levels and body-weight in men after diets rich in sucrose. *Proc Nutr Soc* 1969;28:11A–12A.
57. Yudkin J, Kakkar VV, Szanto S. Sugar intake, serum insulin and platelet adhesiveness in men with and without peripheral vascular disease. *Postgrad Med J* 1969;45:608–11.
58. Szanto S, Yudkin J. Insulin and atheroma. *Lancet* 1969;1:1211–12.
59. Madero M, Arriaga JC, Jalal D, *et al*. The effect of two energy-restricted diets, a low-fructose diet versus a moderate natural fructose diet, on weight loss and metabolic syndrome parameters: a randomized controlled trial. *Metab Clin Exp* 2011;60:1551–9.
60. Brymora A, Flisiński M, Johnson RJ, *et al*. Low-fructose diet lowers blood pressure and inflammation in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012;27:608–12.
61. Bray GA, Popkin BM. Dietary sugar and body weight: have we reached a crisis in the epidemic of obesity and diabetes? Health be damned! Pour on the sugar. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:950–6.
62. DiNicolantonio JJ. The cardiometabolic consequences of replacing saturated fats with carbohydrates or  $\Omega$ -6 polyunsaturated fats: do the dietary guidelines have it wrong? *Open Heart* 2014;1:e000032.
63. McCarty MF, DiNicolantonio JJ. The cardiometabolic benefits of glycine: is glycine an 'antidote' to dietary fructose? *Open Heart* 2014;1:e000103.
64. DiNicolantonio JJ, Lucan SC, O'Keefe JH. An unsavory truth: sugar, more than salt, predisposes to hypertension and chronic disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2014;114:1126–8.
65. Shapiro A, Mu W, Roncal C, *et al*. Fructose-induced leptin resistance exacerbates weight gain in response to subsequent

- high-fat feeding. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2008;295:R1370–5.
66. Storlien LH, Kraegen EW, Jenkins AB, *et al*. Effects of sucrose vs starch diets on in vivo insulin action, thermogenesis, and obesity in rats. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1988;47:420–7.
  67. Yudkin J. Sugar and disease. *Nature* 1972;239:197–9.
  68. Bray GA, Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Consumption of high-fructose corn syrup in beverages may play a role in the epidemic of obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;79:537–43.
  69. Davis JN, Ventura EE, Weigensberg MJ, *et al*. The relation of sugar intake to beta cell function in overweight Latino children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82:1004–10.
  70. Basu S, Yoffe P, Hills N, *et al*. The relationship of sugar to population-level diabetes prevalence: an econometric analysis of repeated cross-sectional data. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e57873.
  71. Gross LS, Li L, Ford ES, *et al*. Increased consumption of refined carbohydrates and the epidemic of type 2 diabetes in the United States: an ecologic assessment. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;79:774–9.
  72. DiNicolantonio JJ, Lucan SC. The Wrong White Crystals: not salt but sugar as aetiological in hypertension and cardiometabolic disease. *Open Heart* 2014;1:e000167.
  73. Yudkin J. Dietetic aspects of atherosclerosis. *Angiology* 1966;17:127–33.
  74. Yudkin J. Dietary prevention of atherosclerosis. *Lancet* 1970;1:418.
  75. Ng SW, Slining MM, Popkin BM. Use of caloric and noncaloric sweeteners in US consumer packaged foods, 2005–2009. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2012;112:1828–34.e1–6.
  76. Sharma A, Hauck K, Hollingsworth B, *et al*. The effects of taxing sugar-sweetened beverages across different income groups. *Health Econ* 2014;23:1159–84.
  77. Block JP, Willett WC. Taxing sugar-sweetened beverages: not a “holy grail” but a cup at least half comment on “food taxes: a new holy grail?”. *Int J Health Policy Manag* 2013;1:183–5.
  78. Basu S, Vellakkal S, Agrawal S, *et al*. Averting obesity and type 2 diabetes in India through sugar-sweetened beverage taxation: an economic-epidemiologic modeling study. *PLoS Med* 2014;11:e1001582.
  79. Choy L, Dela Cruz MR, Hagiwara M, *et al*. Insights in public health: taxing sugar sweetened beverages to improve public health: policy action in Hawai'i Doctoral Health Policy Seminar, Spring 2013. *Hawaii J Med Public Health* 2013;72:286–91.
  80. Cabrera Escobar MA, Veerman JL, Tollman SM, *et al*. Evidence that a tax on sugar sweetened beverages reduces the obesity rate: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:1072.
  81. Kass N, Hecht K, Paul A, *et al*. Ethics and obesity prevention: ethical considerations in 3 approaches to reducing consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. *Am J Public Health* 2014;104:787–95.
  82. Malnik E. World Health Organisation advises halving sugar intake. *The Telegraph*. March 2014.

# Added sugars drive nutrient and energy deficit in obesity: a new paradigm

James J DiNicolantonio and Amy Berger

*Open Heart* 2016 3:  
doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2016-000469

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://openheart.bmj.com/content/3/2/e000469>

---

*These include:*

## References

This article cites 70 articles, 25 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://openheart.bmj.com/content/3/2/e000469#BIBL>

## Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

## Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>